One-dimensional Nanostructured TiO₂ for Photocatalytic Activity and Dye-sensitized Solar Cells

Sorapong Pavasupree¹, ², Supachai Ngamsinlapasathan¹, Yoshikazu Suzuki¹ and Susumu Yoshikawa¹,*

¹ Institute of Advanced Energy, Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto, 611-0011, Japan
² Department of Materials and Metallurgical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Rajamangala University of Technology Thanyaburi, Klong 6, Pathumthani, 12110, Thailand

Abstract: Nanorods/nanoparticles TiO₂ with mesoporous structure were synthesized by hydrothermal method at 150 °C for 20 h. The samples characterized by XRD, SEM, TEM, SAED, HRTEM, and BET surface area. The nanorods had diameter about 10-20 nm and the lengths of 100-200 nm, the nanoparticles had diameter about 5-10 nm. The prepared material had average pore diameter about 7-12 nm. The BET surface area and pore volume of the sample are about 203 m²/g and 0.655 cm³/g, respectively. The nanorods/nanoparticles TiO₂ with mesoporous structure showed higher photocatalytic activity (I₃ concentration) than the nanorods TiO₂, nanofibers TiO₂, mesoporous TiO₂, and commercial TiO₂ (ST-01, P-25, JRC-01, and JRC-03). The solar energy conversion efficiency (η) of the cell using nanorods/nanoparticles TiO₂ with mesoporous structure was about 7.12 % with Jsc of 13.97 mA/cm², Voc of 0.73 V and ff of 0.70; while η of the cell using P-25 reached 5.82 % with Jsc of 12.74 mA/cm², Voc of 0.704 V and ff of 0.649.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The synthesis and characterization of one-dimensional (1-D) nanostructured materials (nanotubes, nanorods, and nanowires) have received considerable attention due to their unique properties and novel applications [1-4]. Much effort has concentrated on the important metal oxides such as TiO₂, SnO₂, VO₂, and ZnO [1-9]. Among them, TiO₂ and TiO₂-derived materials are of importance for utilizing solar energy and environmental purification. TiO₂ has been widely used for various applications such as a semiconductor in dye-sensitized solar cell, water treatment materials, catalysts, gas sensors, and so on [10-27]. In our previous works, nanofibers TiO₂ were synthesized by hydrothermal and post heat-treatments from natural rutile sand, however, nanofibers TiO₂ had rather low surface area (10-20 m²/g) [20-21]. The author’s group preliminary reported that the nanowires/nanoparticles composite structure showed better photovoltaic conversion effect in dye-sensitized solar cell [22].

In this study, nanorods/nanoparticles TiO₂ with mesoporous structure (with much higher surface area, 203 m²/g) has been synthesized, which shows high photocatalytic activity and high performance in dye-sensitized solar cell. The detail microstructure, photocatalytic, and photovoltaic properties will be reported.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Synthesis

2.1.1 Nanorods/nanoparticles TiO₂ with mesoporous structure

Titanium (IV) butoxide (Aldrich) was mixed with the same mole of acetyacetone (ACA, Nacalai Tesque, Inc., Japan) to slowdown the hydrolysis and the condensation reactions [11-13]. Subsequently, distilled water 40 ml was added in the solution, and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 5 min. After kept stirring, ammonia aqueous solution 28 % (Wako Co., Ltd., Japan) 30 ml was added in the solution, then the solution was put into a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and heated at 150 °C for 20 h with stirring condition. After the autoclave was naturally cooled to room temperature, the obtained product was washed with HCl aqueous solution, 2-propanal and distilled water for several times, followed by drying at 100 °C for 12 h (Fig. 1). Using the similar procedure, nanorods TiO₂, nanofibers TiO₂, and mesoporous TiO₂ were also prepared for photocatalytic activity comparisons as follows.

2.1.2 Nanorods TiO₂

The nanorods TiO₂ were synthesized by using the same route of 2.1.1 but difference in the hydrothermal temperature at 170 °C for 72 h.

2.1.3 Nanofibers TiO₂

The nanofibers TiO₂ were synthesized by hydrothermal method (150 °C for 72 h) using natural rutile sand as the starting material and calcined at 700 °C for 4 h (prepared as ref. 20-21).

2.1.4 Mesoporous TiO₂

The mesoporous TiO₂ were synthesized by surfactant-assisted sol-gel method at 80 °C for 5 days then calcined at 250 °C for 24 h (washed by 2-propanal) and 400 °C for 4 h (prepared as ref 15-19).

2.2 Characterization

The crystalline structure of the samples was evaluated by X-ray diffraction (XRD, RIGAKU RINT 2100). The microstructure of the prepared materials was analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, JEOL JSM-6500FE), transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL JEM-200CX), and selected-area electron diffraction (SAED). The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) specific surface area was determined by the nitrogen adsorption (BEL Japan, BELSORP-18 Plus).
2.3 Photocatalytic activity measurement

The photocatalytic activity was measured through the formation rate of I$_3^-$ due to the oxidation photo-reaction of I$^-$ to I$_3^-$ in excess I$^-$ conditions [11-13, 19]. A reaction system was set up by adding 50 mg of a sample powder into 10 ml of 0.2 M of potassium iodide aqueous solution then stirred and irradiated with UV light (15 W, Vilber Lourmat VL-115L, with a maximum emission at about 365 nm) at room temperature. After the irradiation of 15, 30, 45, and 60 min, the suspension was withdrawn and centrifuged. After the clear supernatant was diluted ten times, the concentration of liberated I$_3^-$ ions was monitored by the absorbance at 288 nm, using an UV–Vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV 2450). The molar extinction coefficient was determined to be 4.0 x 10$^4$ (cm mol/l)$^{-1}$. No I$_3^-$ formation was observed when the experiments were conducted in the dark or in the absence of the TiO$_2$ samples. For reference, 4 difference commercially available nanoparticles TiO$_2$ powders, ST-01 (Ishihara Sangyo Kaisha, Ltd., Japan), P-25 (Nippon Aerosil Co., Ltd., Japan), JRC-01 and JRC-03 (The Catalysis Society of Japan) were tested.

2.4 Dye-sensitized solar cell measurement

TiO$_2$ electrodes were prepared as follows; 1 g of TiO$_2$ powder was mixed with 0.1 mL of ACA, and was ground mechanically. During vigorous stirring, 5 ml of mixture of water and ethanol (1:1, in vol %) was added and 0.4 ml of polyoxethylene (10) octylphenyl ether (Triton x-100) was added to facilitate spreading of the paste on the substrate. The obtained colloidal paste was coated on fluorine-doped SnO$_2$ conducting glass (FTO, sheet resistance 15 $\Omega/$). Asahi glass Co., Ltd.) by squeegee technique. After coating, every layer was dried at room temperature and then annealed at 400 °C for 5 min. The coating process was repeated to obtain thick films. The resulting films were sintered at 450 °C for 2 h in air. Sintered TiO$_2$ electrodes were soaked in 0.3 mM of ruthenium (II) dye (known as N719, Solaronix) in a t-butanol/ acetonitrile (1:1, in vol %) solution. The electrodes were washed with acetonitrile, dried, and immediately used for measuring photovoltaic properties. The electrolyte was composed of 0.6 M dimethylpropylimidazolium iodide, 0.1 M lithium iodide (LiI), 0.05 M iodide (I$_2$), and 0.5 M 4-tert-butylpyrididine in acetonitrile.

The thickness of the TiO$_2$ films was measured with a Tencor Alpha-step Profiler. Photocurrent-voltage curve was measured under simulated solar light (CEP-2000, Bunkoh-Keiki, AM 1.5, 100 mW/cm$^2$). The light intensity of the illumination source was calibrated by using a standard silicon photodiode (BS520, Bunkoh-Keiki).

The amount of adsorbed dye was determined by desorbing the dye from the titania surface into a mixed solution of 0.1 M NaOH and ethanol (1:1 in volume fraction) and measuring its absorption spectrum. The concentration of adsorbed dye was analyzed by UV-vis spectrophotometer (UV-2450 SHIMADZU).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION [28]

3.1 Characterization results

Fig. 1 (a) shows the X-ray diffraction pattern of the as-synthesized nanorods/nanoparticles TiO$_2$. The peaks were rather sharp, which indicated the obtained TiO$_2$ had relatively high crystallinity, and attributable to the anatase phase.

Fig. 1 (b) gives the nitrogen adsorption isotherm and the pore size distribution of the as-synthesized nanorods/nanoparticles TiO$_2$. The isotherm shows a typical IUPAC type IV pattern with sharp inflection of nitrogen adsorbed volume at P/P$_0$ about 0.80 (type H$_2$ hysteresis loop), indicating the existence of mesopores. The pore size distribution of the sample, as shown in the inset of Fig. 1 (b), showed that the nanorods/nanoparticles TiO$_2$ had average pore diameter about 7-12 nm. The BET surface area and pore volume of sample are about 203 m$^2$/g and 0.655 cm$^3$/g, respectively.

Fig. 2 (a-b) show SEM images of the as-synthesized nanorods/nanoparticles TiO$_2$, indicating the rods-like morphology. In addition, its TEM (Fig. 2 (c)) clearly shows not only nanorods but also nanoparticles. The nanorods in the composite powder had diameter about 10-20 nm and the lengths of 100-200 nm, the nanoparticles had diameter about 5-10 nm. The electron diffraction pattern shown in the inset of (Fig. 2(c)) supported that the nanorods/nanoparticles composite was anatase-type TiO$_2$. The lattice fringes of the nanorods and the nanoparticles appearing in the image (d = 0.35 nm) also allowed for the identification of the anatase phase (Fig. 2 (d)). HRTEM images of nanorods/nanoparticles with clear lattice fringes, again confirming its high crystallinity.

Fig. 3 show the summarized results (SEM, TEM, SAED, HRTEM, and BET surface area) of the prepared TiO$_2$ (nanorods TiO$_2$, nanofibers TiO$_2$, and mesoporous TiO$_2$).

The BET surface area of the nanorods TiO$_2$ (Fig. 3 (a-b)), anatase structure with diameter 60-80 nm and the lengths of 300-600 nm), the nanofibers TiO$_2$ (Fig. 3 (c-d), anatase structure with particles diameter 20-100 nm and the lengths of several ten micrometers), and mesoporous TiO$_2$ (Fig. 3 (e-f), anatase structure with particles diameter 7-15 nm) were about 19, 10, and 80 m$^2$/g, respectively. The BET surface area of the commercial TiO$_2$, ST-01, (anatase structure with particles diameter 4-5 nm), P-25 (anatase 70 % and rutile 30 % structure with particles diameter 30-50 nm), JRC-01 (anatase structure with particles diameter 15-30 nm), JRC-03 (rutile structure with particles diameter 20-50 nm) were about 284, 56, 71, and 48 m$^2$/g, respectively.

3.2 Photocatalytic activity results

The I$_3^-$ concentration at 60 min of the irradiation period of the nanorods/nanoparticles TiO$_2$ were about 3.02 x 10$^{-4}$ M (Fig. 4 (a)), which is higher than that of other synthesized powders (nanorods TiO$_2$, nanofibers TiO$_2$, mesoporous TiO$_2$) and also that of four commercially available titania nanomaterials, ST-01, P-25, JRC-01, and JRC-03 which exhibit I$_3^-$ concentration about 2.68 x 10$^{-4}$ M, 1.50 x 10$^{-4}$ M, 0.66 x 10$^{-4}$ M, and 0.25 x 10$^{-4}$ M, respectively. The photocatalytic activity was almost proportional to the BET surface area (Fig. 4 (b)), indicating that adsorption of I$^-$ on titania surface was rate determining step in the initial stage of the reaction (before 15 min) [11-13]. In our previous works, it is obviously revealed that the introduction of mesopore into titania photocatalyst substantially improved the photocatalytic performance [17, 19].

3.3 Dye-sensitized solar cell results

Fig. 5 shows comparison between photocurrent–voltage characteristics of the cell using nanorods/nanoparticles TiO$_2$ with mesoporous structure (thickness = 8.3 $\mu$m) and P-25 (thickness = 13.8 $\mu$m). The solar energy conversion efficiency of the cell using nanorods/nanoparticles TiO$_2$ with mesoporous structure was about 7.12 % with Jsc of 13.97 mA/cm$^2$, Voc of 0.73 V and ff of
while \( \eta \) of the cell using P-25 reached 5.82\% with \( J_{sc} \) of 12.74 mA/cm\(^2\), \( V_{oc} \) of 0.704 V and \( \text{ff} \) of 0.649. High current density and efficiency might be attributed to higher amount of adsorbed dye (11.44 x 10\(^{-8}\) mol/cm\(^2\) for nanorods/nanoparticles TiO\(_2\) and 5.68 x 10\(^{-8}\) mol/cm\(^2\) for P-25), owing to larger surface area of the nanorods/nanoparticles TiO\(_2\) (Table 1).

The nanorods/nanoparticles TiO\(_2\) was found to be superior solar energy conversion efficiency to commercial nanoparticles P-25. The optimal thickness of this electrode should be further investigated. This material possesses high potential for further development in the application of dye-sensitized solar cells.

Fig. 1 (a) X-ray diffraction pattern of the as-synthesized nanorods/nanoparticles TiO\(_2\). (b) Nitrogen adsorption isotherm pattern of the as-synthesized nanorods/nanoparticles TiO\(_2\), and the pore size distribution of sample with pore diameter about 7-12 nm (inset)

Fig. 2 (a-b) SEM, (c) TEM, SAED, and (d) HRTEM images of the as-synthesized nanorods/nanoparticles TiO\(_2\)
Fig. 3 SEM, TEM, SAED, HRTEM, and BET surface area results of the prepared TiO$_2$, (a-b) nanorods TiO$_2$ (prepared by hydrothermal at 170 °C, 72 h), (c-d) nanofibers TiO$_2$ (prepared as ref. 20-21), and (e-f) mesoporous TiO$_2$ (prepared as ref. 15-19).
Fig. 4 (a) Photocatalytic activity ($I_3^-$ concentration) of the nanorods/nanoparticles TiO$_2$ with mesoporous structure (prepared by this study), the mesoporous TiO$_2$ calcined at 250 °C for 24 h and 400 °C for 4 h (prepared as ref. 15 -19), the nanorods TiO$_2$ (prepared by this study), the nanofibers TiO$_2$ (prepared as ref. 20-21), and commercial TiO$_2$ (ST-01, P-25, JRC-01, and JRC-03). (b) The comparison between $I_3^-$ concentration-BET surface area of the prepared TiO$_2$ (nanorods/nanoparticles, mesoporous, nanorods, and nanofibers) and the commercial TiO$_2$ (ST-01, P-25, JRC-01, and JRC-03)

![Graph showing photocatalytic activity and BET surface area](image)

Fig. 5 The comparison between photocurrent-voltage characteristic of a typical dye sensitized solar cells fabricated by the nanorods/nanoparticles TiO$_2$ with mesoporous structure and P-25

Table 1 BET surface area after calcined at 450 °C for 2 h and amount of adsorbed dye of nanorods/nanoparticles TiO$_2$ and P-25

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>BET surface area after calcined at 450 °C for 2 h (m$^2$/g)</th>
<th>Amount of adsorbed dye (mol/cm$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nanorods/nanoparticles TiO$_2$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$1.144 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-25</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>$5.68 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. CONCLUSION

In summary, the nanorods had diameter about 10-20 nm and the lengths of 100-200 nm, the nanoparticles had diameter about 5-10 nm. Nanorods/nanoparticles TiO$_2$ with mesoporous structure showed higher photocatalytic activity ($I_3^-$ concentration) than the nanorods TiO$_2$, nanofibers TiO$_2$, mesoporous TiO$_2$, and commercial TiO$_2$ (ST-01, P-25, JRC-01, and JRC-03). The $\eta$ of the cell using nanorods/nanoparticles TiO$_2$ was about 7.12 % while $\eta$ of the cell using P-25 reached 5.82 %. These materials are promising for chemical and energy-related applications such as photocatalytic activity materials, a semiconductor in dye-sensitized solar cell.

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to express gratitude to Prof. S. Isoda and Prof. H. Kurata, Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University for the use of TEM apparatus Prof. T. Yoko, Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University for the use of XRD equipment. Prof. Mochizuki at AIST for the kind supply of Pt counter electrode. We are also grateful to the Geomatec Co. Ltd. for
providing a part of conducting glass. This work was supported by grant-in-aids from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture of Japan under the 21 COE program, the Nanotechnology Support Project, NEDO under high-performance dye-sensitized solar cell project, and from JSPS research fellow.

6. REFERENCES