Brunner’s gland hamartomas are uncommon benign tumor of the duodenum. Most lesions are small and asymptomatic. Occasionally, those lesions may be large and manifest as a rare cause of upper GI hemorrhage or duodenal obstruction.

The authors report here two cases of Brunner’s gland hamartoma presenting with upper GI hemorrhage that were not amenable to endoscopic polypectomy thus requiring surgical resection.

The literature on Brunner’s gland hamartoma was reviewed.
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in 1876\cite{11,19}. Since then, this condition has rarely been described in the literature. Until the year 2009, less than 200 cases of Brunner’s gland hamartoma have been reported in the English literature\cite{1,9,12,17,22}.

Herein, the authors report two cases of Brunner’s gland hamartoma of the duodenum causing upper GI hemorrhage that were successfully managed by surgical resection.

Case Report

Case 1

A 46-year-old man presented with the chief complaint of passage of black tarry stool for about one month. He denied any recent intake of alcohol or NSAIDs. He had no complaints of nausea, vomiting or abdominal pain. Physical examination revealed no abnormality except pale conjunctiva. Laboratory evaluation showed anemia with the hematocrit of 28%. Other laboratory values were within normal limits. He received two units of packed red cell over the next 24 hours.

Gastroduodenoscopy showed normal stomach with a large submucosal mass in the bulbar part of the duodenum.

Surgery was performed with the presumptive diagnosis of leiomyoma of the duodenum. At operation, a duodenal mass was easily palpated occupying the whole first part of the duodenum. Truncal vagotomy + antrectomy + partial duodenectomy (distal to the lesion) with Billroth II anastomosis was performed.

Grossly, the resected duodenal specimen showed an elevated submucosal -like- sessile mass measuring 4 x 4.5 x 3 cm in size, with central erosion. Histopathology revealed Brunner’s gland hamartoma (Fig. 1). No dysplasia or malignancy was seen within the specimen.

The patient had an uneventful postoperative recovery. He is now symptom-free with no episodes of recurrent melena, nine years after the operation.

Case 2

A 79-year-old man complained of multiple episodes of melena for one month and one episode of hematemesis four days ago. He denied weight loss or use of NSAIDs or alcohol. He was pale. Other examination revealed no abnormality. His initial hematocrit value was 30%. Other laboratory tests were unremarkable.

Gastroduodenoscopy revealed normal stomach with a large pedunculated polyp in the proximity of the ampulla of Vater.

At laparotomy, the mass was easily palpated. A longitudinal duodenotomy permitted removal of the pedunculated polypoid mass with a base at the posterior wall of the second portion of the duodenum (Fig. 2). The tumor was excised with its base, and the duodenotomy was closed. The ampulla was uninvolved.

The resected specimen showed a pedunculated polyp, measuring 8 cm long and 0.8 cm in diameter (Fig. 3).

The polyp had a lobulated surface with a small area of mucosal erosion. Pathologic examination demonstrated a Brunner’s gland hamartoma (Fig. 4). No sign of malignancy was found. The postoperative course was uneventful. During the 6-month follow-up period, the patient remained symptom-free and no further episodes of melena occurred.

Discussion

In clinical practice, the common causes of upper GI hemorrhage are peptic ulcer disease, gastritis, and esophageal varices\cite{12}. In rare instances, duodenal tumors can also be sources of bleeding. These include Brunner’s gland hamartomas.

Brunner’s gland hamartomas are rare duodenal tumors. Only two cases of these lesions have been in the file of the surgical pathology unit of Ramathibodi Hospital between 1992 and 2005\cite{23}.

It is usually found incidentally during gastroscopy or imaging studies because it is small and asymptomatic\cite{12,9,19,24}. In symptomatic patients, clinical manifestation can include upper GI hemorrhage and duodenal obstruction\cite{11,17,9,20,24}. Upper GI hemorrhage can occasionally be massive and rarely
Fatal(22,25). The majority of patients are manifested as anemia from chronic blood loss(5). Less frequently, they presented with melena or hematemesis(3). Melena is four times more common than hematemesis. In the presented cases, one presented with melena and the other one with hematemesis and melena.

Rare cases of obstructive jaundice and even pancreatitis, presumably due to obstruction of the ampulla of Vater, have been reported(9,18).

The location of Brunner’s gland hamartoma is often at the posterior wall of the duodenal bulb and the incidence decreases with the increase of the distance from the pyloric ring(1,8,16,22). Levine et al from the Mayo Clinic, USA, in 1995 reported a series of 27 Brunner’s gland hamartoma and found 70% of cases in the duodenal bulb, 26% in the second part, and 4% in the third part of the duodenum(26). They are either pedunculated (89%) or sessile (11%)(26).

In the presented cases, each was pedunculated and sessile polyp. It was found at the bulb part in one and at the second part in the other.

Upper GI endoscopy is the diagnostic method of choice(1,12,17,22). The differential diagnosis usually includes leiomyoma, adenoma, lipoma, carcinoid tumor, lymphoma, adenocarcinoma, GIST, and aberrant pancreatic tissue(1,12,17,22,27). However, endoscopic biopsies usually give a negative result owing to the submucosal location of the mass(1,28,29). EUS is considered to be the imaging modality of choice(4,13,17,30). It helps to differentiate between intraluminal and extraluminal mass and to establish a preliminary diagnosis on the layer from which the lesion arises and from the characteristic appearance of the lesion(4,16,17,31). It appears as a heterogeneous lesion with solid and cystic components(31). Of course, the definite diagnosis was usually established on the pathological examination of the resected specimen(16,22). In the presented cases, the diagnosis was made on resected specimens after surgery. EUS may help in the diagnosis of these small lesions without symptoms before an unnecessary surgical resection. However, EUS follow-up on patients treated for Brunner’s gland hamartoma is not warranted(4,11).

CT scan is insensitive, only helpful for distinguishing relation of the lesion and its adjacent structures(11,32).

Natural history of the lesion is poorly defined. Block et al in 2000 noted a more than 20-year interval between the initial diagnosis and subsequent development of upper GI hemorrhage(33), suggesting an essentially benign clinical course.
Most reports have described Brunner’s gland hamartoma as non-neoplastic and entirely benign lesion. However, Brookes et al in 2003 described a Brunner’s gland hamartoma with multiple foci of dysplasia(20). Akino et al in 2002(34) and Ohta et al in 2008(7) reported two cases of an early duodenal carcinoma arising from Brunner’s gland. This can be confused with a lesion of more oncological importance such as duodenal adenoma or adenocarcinoma. Thus, recognition of Brunner’s gland hamartoma and differentiation from a malignant tumor is critical for appropriate treatment. Hol et al in 2007(35) suggested that previous reports of malignant degeneration of Brunner’s gland hamartoma may actually have been cases involving the coexistence of an adenocarcinoma of the ampulla of Vater.

The regression of Brunner’s gland hamartoma is very rare(2). It is still controversial whether asymptomatic cases found incidentally needs surgical removal. Most authors suggested that it needs no treatment from the benign nature of this condition(4,5,11,12,19,20,22,36), whereas others hold that it should undergo resection in order to prevent complications and malignant transformation(27,34). The authors agree that resection should be considered only for symptomatic cases. Either endoscopic polypectomy or surgical resection should be performed in symptomatic patients or when definite diagnosis is necessary(2,5,11,12,19,20,22,37).

Endoscopic polypectomy has seldom been reported(38-41). Surgical resection should be considered in the case of a large lesion (> 2 cm) or when malignancy is suspected(11,14,20). Only three cases of Brunner’s gland hamartoma treated by pancreaticoduodenectomy have been reported in the literature(3,2,27). In all cases, the authors were concerned about malignancy, although malignancy was not identified on final pathology.

It must be noted that endoscopic polypectomy for the duodenal lesion carries a higher complication rate than colonic polypectomy, due to its proximity to anatomically important structures(5). This is made more hazardous by the potentially poor visualization within the duodenum, and the risk of peristalsis carrying the resected specimen distally and out of reach. The size of the polyp also limits the use of endoscopic polypectomy(2,5).

The authors’ two cases were treated surgically because of the significant symptom of upper GI hemorrhage. Although the diagnosis was not known before surgery, the benign nature of the lesion was suspected. Its size and location hampered endoscopic polypectomy. In the first case, endoscopic resection was not performed because of the large size of the lesion that was occupying entirely the duodenal bulb and the sessile characteristic of the lesion. At operation, the authors found the lesion to have a large sessile polyp not amenable to perform transduodenal polypectomy but the location allowed distal gastrectomy. In the second case, no attempt was made at endoscopic polypectomy due to its large size and the difficulty in gaining access to the base of the pedunculated polyp at the second portion of the duodenum for snaring. At operation, the authors found the large pedunculated polyp amenable to a transduodenal polypectomy.

Up until now, recurrence of a resected Brunner’s gland hamartoma has not been documented in the literature. The presented cases had no recurrence after the longest follow-up period of nine years after surgery. The outcome of the operation is excellent.

Conclusion

The authors present two rare cases of Brunner’s gland hamartoma with upper GI hemorrhage that were successfully treated by surgical resection. Surgeons should be aware that Brunner’s gland hamartoma might cause upper GI hemorrhage. The careful observation of the duodenum is important during endoscopy for the patient with upper GI hemorrhage.
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การผ่าตัดรักษาภาวะเลือดออกจากทางเดินอาหารส่วนบนที่เกิดจาก Brunner’s gland hamartoma: รายงานผู้ป่วย 2 รายและการทบทวนวารสารทางการแพทย์

จักรพันธ์ เอื้อนรเศรษฐ์, พัฒนา ศรมยุรา

Brunner’s gland hamartoma เป็นเนื้องอกชนิดไม่ใช่มะเร็งของ duodenum ที่พบได้ไม่บ่อย เนื่องจากส่วนใหญ่จะมีขนาดเล็ก และไม่มีอาการ มีเพียงบางครั้งที่เนื้องอกชนิดนี้จะโตและทำให้เกิดอาการเลือดออกจากทางเดินอาหารส่วนบน หรือ การอุดกั้นของ duodenum ซึ่งพบได้ไม่สม่ำเสมอ

ผู้นิพนธ์ได้รายงานผู้ป่วย 2 ราย ที่มีอาการเลือดออกจากทางเดินอาหารส่วนบน จาก Brunner’s gland hamartoma ที่ไม่สามารถรักษาได้ด้วยการตัดชิ้นเนื้องอกด้วยการผ่าตัดทางช่องท้อง จึงทำการรักษาด้วยการผ่าตัดทางช่องท้องของผู้นิพนธ์ได้พบรายงานจากวารสารต่าง ๆ ที่เกี่ยวข้องกับ Brunner’s gland hamartoma
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