Clinical Applications of Diffusion-Weighted-MRI in Prostate Cancer
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Objective: To determine the relationship between the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values calculated from diffusion-weighted (DW) MR imaging in different b values and tumor grading by Gleason scores of the peripheral zone prostate cancer.

Material and Method: Thirty-nine patients with prostate cancer who underwent pre-operative endorectal Diffusion-Weighted (DW) magnetic resonance (MR) imaging between March 2006 and March 2010 were included. Regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn on ADC maps at sites of visible tumor on DW images and ADC maps comparison to histopathology. Differentiation between ADC values of tumor and non-tumor areas were analyzed by using paired t-test and sign-test and between tumors grading were analyzed by using Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann-Whitney) test and Kruskal-Wallis equality-of population rank test.

Results: The mean ADC of tumor is lower than non-tumor areas at all b-values. There is negative correlation between ADC value and tumor grading with statistical significance at b = 1,000 sec/mm², between tumor grade I (1.95x10⁻³ mm²/sec, SD = 0.33) and tumor grade II (1.16x10⁻³ mm²/sec, SD = 0.27) (p = 0.03) and between tumor grade I and tumor grade III (1.10x10⁻³ mm²/sec, SD = 0.36) (p = 0.002) and at b = 2,000 sec/mm², between tumor grade I (2.21x10⁻³ mm²/sec, SD = 0.08) and tumor grade II (1.22x10⁻³ mm²/sec, SD = 0.38) (p = 0.01), and between tumor grade I and tumor grade III (1.32x10⁻³ mm²/sec, SD = 0.49) (p = 0.04). There is no statistical significance difference between tumor grade II and grade III.

Conclusion: Tumor shows restricted diffusion with ADC value lower than non-tumor areas. There is a significant negative correlation between ADCs and tumor grading between low and intermediate grades and between low and high grades tumor at the b = 1,000 and 2,000 sec/mm². ADC maps may be a useful tool for non-invasive assessment of the aggressiveness of prostate cancers that are visible on MR images.
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of prostate cancer by providing more specific information regarding tumor localization, size, and aggressiveness\(^{(4-8)}\). In addition, tumor apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) on DWI may be correlated with prostate cancer progression and may help to stratify patients who may be benefit from radical treatment\(^{(9)}\).

Several recent studies have shown that DWI can help differentiate between malignant and benign prostatic tissue on the basis of lower ADC values of prostatic carcinoma compared with normal prostatic tissue\(^{(10-12)}\). In the normal population, the mean ADC values of the central and peripheral zones of the prostate gland are statistically difference\(^{(13)}\). While, the histopathologic reference standard for reporting prostate cancer aggressiveness is the Gleason grading system. More aggressive tumors are associated with decreased 10-year-survival\(^{(14)}\), and increased likelihood of prostate cancer recurrence\(^{(15)}\). The purpose of this study was to determine the relationship between the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values calculated from diffusion-weighted-images (DWI) at different b-values and tumor grading by Gleason score of peripheral zone prostate cancer from radical prostatectomy specimens.

**Material and Method**

**Patients**

This retrospective study was approved by institutional review board, which waived the requirement for patient informed consent. Fifty-four consecutive patients who underwent 1.5-T endorectal MR imaging followed by radical prostatectomy in Ramathibodi Hospital between March 1, 2006 and March 31, 2010 were enrolled. Fifteen patients were excluded from analysis because their Diffusion Weighted Images were unavailable for review. The final study population consisted of thirty-nine men. Patient age, underlying disease, serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) level, indication for endorectal MRI, date and type of radical prostatectomy were reviewed from medical records. The location and extension of tumor and Gleason score of prostate cancer were reviewed by urological pathologist. The initial and adjuvant post-operative treatment and first PSA level after radical prostatectomy were also recorded.

**MRI technique**

All prostate MRI examinations were performed by using an endorectal coil (Medrad, Indianola, Pa) combined with a 4-channels Torso array coil in 1.5-T MR imaging units (SignaHDxt, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wis). Immediately before the MR imaging examination, all patients underwent intravascular administration of 20 mg of hyoscine-n-butylbromide (The Government Pharmaceutical Organization, Thailand) to prevent peristalsis artifacts except when contraindicated.

All patients were imaged in supine position. After the acquisition of localizing images, sagittal, coronal, axial thin-slice T2-weighted fast spin-echo (FSE) images through the prostate gland and seminal vesicles were obtained using the following parameter: TR range, 3,000 to 6,000 milliseconds; TE, 104 milliseconds; echo-train length, 18; field of view, 16x16 cm; section thickness, 3 mm; intersection gap, 0 mm; matrix 512x256; and number of excitation (NEX), 4. The transverse axial T1-weighted fast spin echo (FSE) images with a TR/TE of 400-600/10-15; matrix, 320x224; and all other parameters matched to the axial thin-sliced T2W FSE sequence were obtained. The axial thin-slice T2-weighted images were used for calculate prostatic volume by Functool package post processing with the GE advantage workstation (GE Medical Systems).

Axial free-breathing DWI was performed using a single-shot echo-planar imaging technique with a TR of 3,000-6,000 milliseconds and a TE of 60-120 milliseconds; FOV, 18x18 cm; section thickness, 5 mm; intersection gap, 1 mm; matrix 128x128; and number of excitation (NEX), 6. The axial free-breathing DTI was performed in eight patients of this study using a TR of 8,000-9,000 milliseconds and all other parameters matched to the DWI. ADC values were obtained from the DWI/DTI sequences, which performed with b-values of 100, 250, 500, 1,000, and 2,000 s/mm\(^2\). The ADC maps were generated by auto-calculation the ADC value in each pixel of each slice.

Axial T1 and T2FS of the pelvis from aortic bifurcation to pubic symphysis, MR spectroscopy of the prostate gland, and axial free-breathing dynamic contrast enhanced MR images of the entire prostate gland were also obtained for all of the patients, but those images were not used in our study.

**MR imaging analysis**

All sequences of the MR examination of each patient were reviewed by body imaging radiologist (SP) and fellow (PW) with eight and two years of experience interpreting prostate MR examinations, respectively. The criteria for tumor visibility were focal...
hyperintensity of DW images and corresponding with hypointensity on ADC maps relative to the rest of the prostate gland. The degrees of tumor visibility were recorded by using three point scales, depend on the degree of conspicuity of tumor from the remaining prostate tissue, $1 =$ poor; $2 =$ moderate; $3 =$ good. The locations of tumor detected by DW/DT images and ADC map and total prostatic volumes were recorded.

After a hypointense lesion of peripheral zone was identified on an ADC map, regions of interest (elliptical ROIs) were drawn manually to include the entire tumor. The mean signal intensity values and SDs in aforementioned ROI of each b-values were automatically determined by the PACS (Synapse, Fuji Medical systems). The ADC value of the areas (sides) of no detectable hypointense ADC lesion of peripheral zone were also determined by manually drawn the same size of elliptical ROIs in the same slices. In the case of pathologically proved diffuse tumor infiltration, the mean ADC values were calculated from the mean ADC value of both-sided prostate glands. The T1- and T2-weighted sequences were reviewed only to confirm findings on other sequences and to ensure that any measured areas of restricted diffusion were not interfered due to presence of blood products. The presence and locations (sides) of hypersignal T1-weighted images were also recorded.

The extracapsular extension (ECE) criteria on T2-weighted images are neurovascular bundle asymmetry, tumor envelopment of the neurovascular bundle, angulated contour of the prostate gland, irregular or spiculated margin, and obliteration of the rectoprostatic angle(16). The seminal vesicle invasion (SVI) criteria on T2-weighted images are focal low signal intensity of the seminal vesicles, enlargement with a low-signal-intensity mass, direct tumor extension from the base to the undersurface of the seminal vesicle, and expanded low-signal-intensity ejaculatory duct with low-signal-intensity seminal vesicles(16). The presence and locations of extracapsular extension (ECE) and seminal vesicle invasion (SVI) were also recorded.

**Histopathologic analysis**

A genitourinary pathologist (PC with 20 years of experience in genitourinary pathology) reviewed hematoxylin-eosin-stained slices of prostatic tissue from radical prostatectomy of all 39 patients. The locations (sides) of tumor, Gleason score, and presence and location of extracapsular extension (ECE) and seminal vesicle invasion (SVI) were determined. In the discordant findings between radiologist and pathologist, additional pathological reviews were also recorded.

**Statistical analysis**

The patient data, MR imaging, and histopathologic data were summarized by tabulating mean and SD for normally distributed continuous variables, median values and ranges for asymmetrical continuous variables and tabulating percentage for categorical variables.

The K (kappa) test was used to assess agreement between histopathological and radiological results in terms of location (side) of tumor, presence and location of extracapsular extension and seminal vesicle invasion (SVI). The degrees of agreement were categorized as follows: K-values of $<0.20$ were considered to indicate poor agreement; K-values of $0.21-0.40$, fair agreement; K-values of $0.41-0.60$, moderate agreement; K-values of $0.61-0.80$, good agreement; K-values of $0.81-1.00$, excellent agreement(17).

The mean ADC values in each b-value of the tumor and non-tumor were analyzed by using sign test for asymmetrical data distribution and paired t-test for normally distributed data. The mean ADC values in each b-value were also analyzed according to tumor grading; Grade I, low grade (Gleason score 1-5); Grade II, intermediate grade (Gleason score 6-7); or Grade III, high grade (8-10); by using Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann-Whitney) test and Kruskal-Wallis equality-of population rank test. Analysis were performed by using stata version 11.1 (StataCorp 2009. Stata: Release 11. Statistical Software College Station, TX: StataCorp LP). Statistical significance was defined on the basis of p-value of less than 0.05.

**Results**

**Patient characteristics**

In this study, 39 men (mean age, 65.67 years; SD 6.23; range, 48-79 years) underwent endorectal MR imaging between March 2006 and March 2010 and subsequent radical prostatectomy with histopathologically proven adenocarcinoma of the peripheral zone of the prostate gland. The patient characteristics are described in Table 1.

**MRI findings**

The mean total prostate volume of the 39 patients were 32.09 cc (SD, 13.64; range 18.59-84.85). Twenty-three (58.97%) patients had hypersignal
T1-weighted foci of hemorrhage. All of the patients in this group had hypersignal T1-weighted foci in the peripheral zone, whereas five (21.74%) patients had hypersignal T1-weighted foci in the central gland. Seventeen (73.91%) patients had hypersignal T1-weighted foci in the right lobe of prostate gland and 22 (95.65%) patients had hypersignal T1-weighted foci in the left lobe of prostate gland.

Eighteen (46.15%) patients had at least one MR imaging criteria of extracapsular extension (ECE) on T2-weighted sequence. ECE was identified on right and left sides in five (27.78%) patients each. ECE on both sides were identified in eight (44.44%) patients.

Nine (23.08%) patients had at least one MR imaging criteria of seminal vesicle invasion (SVI) on T2-weighted sequence. Unilateral seminal vesicle invasion was identified in two (22.22%) patients. Bilateral seminal vesicle invasions were identified in seven (77.78%) patients.

**DWI with ADC map**

Twenty-five (64.10%) patients obtained ADC map from DWI sequences, whereas 14 (35.90%) patients obtained from DTI sequences. All of the patients had b-values of 500 and 1,000 sec/mm². Eight (20.51%) patients had b-value of 100 sec/mm². Twelve (30.77%) patients had b-value of 250 sec/mm². Sixteen (41.03%) patients had b-value of 750 sec/mm². Twenty-five (64.10%) patients had b-value of 2,000 sec/mm². The mean manual elliptical ROIs of all patients were 25.60 mm³ (SD, 10.61; range 14.68-59.81).

**Histopathologic findings and diagnosis**

All of the patients in this study had adenocarcinoma of the peripheral zone of prostate gland. Two (5.13%) patients had Grade I, low grade (Gleason score 1-5). Twenty-seven (69.23%) patients had Grade II, intermediate grade (Gleason score 6-7). Ten (25.64%) patients had Grade III, high grade (8-10).

Twelve (5.13%) patients were not visible focal area of tumor by DW images with ADC map in the peripheral zone. According to a three-point scale of degree of conspicuity of tumor by DW images, 11 (28.21%) patients had grade I, eight (20.51%) patients had grade II, and 18 (46.15%) patients had grade III. Unilateral abnormality was found in 14 (35.9%) patients, seven subjects in each side. Twenty-three (58.97%) patients had a suspicious lesion involving in both lobes of peripheral zone.

Eighteen (46.15%) patients in the study had pathologically proved focal extracapsular extension. Six (33.33%) patients in this group had focal extracapsular extension on the right side. Seven (38.89%) patients had focal extracapsular extension on the left side. Five (27.78%) patients had focal extracapsular extension...
extension on both sides. Twenty-one (53.85%) patients had no pathologic evidence of extracapsular extension.

Eight (20.51%) patient in the study had pathologically proved seminal vesicle(s) invasion. One (12.5%) patient in this group had pathologically proved left seminal vesicle invasion. Seven (87.5%) patients in this group had pathologically proved bilateral seminal vesicles invasion. Thirty-one (79.49%) patients had no pathologic evidence of seminal vesicle invasion.

**Correlation between DWI and histopathology**

The degree of agreement between DWI and histopathology in terms of location (side) of tumor, presence and locations of extracapsular extension (ECE) are moderate agreement (K = 0.48-0.57). The details are described in Table 2. The degree of agreement of presence or absence of seminal vesicle(s) invasion is good agreement (K = 0.78). The degree of agreement about the location of the seminal vesicle(s) invasion is excellent agreement (K = 1.0).

The additional pathological reviews at seminal areas of no demonstrable hypointense ADC lesion of peripheral zone in 5 patients demonstrate small tumor volume (about 5-10%) in 3 patients, lesser Gleason score (about 6, 3+3) than another side (score 7 to 9) in 3 patients, and presence of prostatic hyperplasia in all 5 patients. In the case that presence of hypointense ADC lesions, but negative for malignancy in histopathology (4 patients), there are presence of combination of atypical adenomatous hyperplasia (AAH), prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN), benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH), and chronic prostatitis.

The mean ADC values in each b-value of the tumor and non-tumor areas were analyzed in twelve patients with pathologically proved prostatic tumor confined within one lobe of the peripheral zone of the prostate gland. The mean ADCs of tumor are lower than non-tumor areas in all of b-values. There is statistically significant difference between ADC values of tumor and non-tumor areas at the b-value of 500, 1,000, and 2,000 sec/mm². The details are described in Table 3.

According to the tumor grading, there is negative correlation between ADC value and tumor grading in the most of b-values with statistical significance at the b-value of 1,000 sec/mm², between tumor grade I (1.95x10⁻³ mm²/sec, SD = 0.33) and tumor grade II (1.16x10⁻³ mm²/sec, SD = 0.27) (p = 0.03) and between tumor grade I and tumor grade III (1.10x10⁻³ mm²/sec, SD = 0.36) (p = 0.002) and at the b-value of 2,000 sec/mm², between tumor grade I (2.21x10⁻³ mm²/sec, SD = 0.08) and tumor grade II (1.22x10⁻³ mm²/sec, SD = 0.38) (p = 0.01), and between tumor grade I and tumor grade III (1.32x10⁻³ mm²/sec, SD = 0.49) (p = 0.04). The details are described in Table 4.

**Table 2.** Agreement in term of location (side) of tumor between diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and histopathology (n = 37 patients).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DWI</th>
<th>Histopathology</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kappa = 0.53 (moderate agreement)
Discussion
In this study, there is moderate degree of agreement between DWI and histopathology in term of tumor localization and the presence and location of extracapsular extension (ECE) of peripheral zone prostate cancer. The false positive DWI results are probably due to small tumor volume, low Gleason score, and presence of prostatic hyperplasia.

In the case that presence of hypointense ADC lesions, but negative for malignancy in histopathology, there are presence of combination of atypical adenomatous hyperplasia (AAH), prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN), benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH), and chronic prostatitis. This could be explained by principle of DWI that assesses the Brownian motion of free water in the tissue using a pair of strong diffusion gradients\(^{18}\). The presence of small granular proliferation in atypical adenomatous hyperplasia, nuclear crowding and prominent nuclei in the glands in PIN, stromal and glandular hyperplasia in BPH, and lymphocytes aggregation in prostatitis may cause relatively restricted motion of water molecules in aforementioned areas\(^{19,20}\). False positive finding in DWI may occur in these conditions.

There were four patients with pathologically proved presence of extracapsular extension but cannot be demonstrated by T2W-images, because all of these patients have only small focal areas of ECE. Four patients were over diagnosis of ECE by T2W-images, due to over interpretation of mild capsular irregularity adjacent the suspicious tumor from fibrosis, artifact, and inflammation as the tumor invasion. There were two patients over diagnosis of SVI by T2W-images, due to presence of focal hyposignal T2W-images change adjacent to the suspicious tumor from fibrosis and inflammation.

Table 3. Apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values (x10\(^{-3}\) mm\(^2\)/sec) of tumor and non-tumor areas (n = 37 patients)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b-value</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Tumor</th>
<th>Non-tumor</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b = 100 (n = 8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b = 250 (n = 11)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b = 500 (n = 38)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(SD = 0.12)</td>
<td>(SD = 0.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b = 750 (n = 16)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(SD = 0.18)</td>
<td>(SD = 0.26)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b = 1,000 (n = 12)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(SD = 0.10)</td>
<td>(SD = 0.14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b = 2,000 (n = 11)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(SD = 0.15)</td>
<td>(SD = 0.21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values (x10\(^{-3}\) mm\(^2\)/sec) according to tumor grading (Gleason score)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b-value</th>
<th>Tumor grading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1 (n = 2)</td>
<td>Grade 2* (n = 27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>ADC value (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p-value = 0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p-value = 0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p-value = 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p-value = 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p-value = 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p-value = 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p-value = 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* One patient with tumor grade 2 prostate cancer was excluded due to outlier data
A 68-year-old man, with PSA level of 49 ng/mL and Gleason score 4+3 prostate cancer involving both lobes of the prostate gland with bilateral ECE and bilateral SVI. Thin-sliced axial (A, B) and coronal (C) T2W shows diffuse hyposignal changes in both prostatic lobes with irregularity of the bilateral prostatic capsules (arrow in A, C) and hyposignal intensity of bilateral seminal vesicles (arrow in B). Diffusion-Weighted-Images (DWI) (D) with ADC map (E) at b = 1,000 s/mm² show diffuse area of restricted diffusion. The mean ADC values calculated from ADC value on the right side, 0.70x10⁻³ mm²/sec (ROI, 20.20 mm³); and the left side 0.93x10⁻³ mm²/sec (ROI, 20.01 mm³). Note two points for tumor visibility scale in this case. The hematoxylin-eosin-stained slice of the same patient at x40 in F.

There is lower ADC value in tumor area than in the non-tumor areas in all b-values, which is corresponded to the several previous studies that compared ADC value of malignant tissue and normal prostatic tissue.(10,13,21) However, this study found statistically significant difference of ADC value between tumor and non-tumor areas only at b-value of 500, 1,000, and 2,000 sec/mm², but not in other b-values. This might be due to small population and missing some data in other b-values. It also should be noted that the ADC values of non-tumor areas in this study are measured in relative older age patient population, in which there have been developing prostatic hyperplasia, resulting in the change of ADC value(22).

In our study, there is negative correlation between ADC value and tumor grading in most of b-values with statistical significance at the b-value of 1,000 and 2,000 sec/mm² between tumor grade I and tumor grade II, and between tumor grade I and III. These results are corresponding with the several previous studies that demonstrate high-grade tumors tending to show lower ADC value(21,22-25). Possible explanations to this could be increased tumor cellularity, structural change of gland stroma that becomes more fibrous, and a more disorganized texture resulting in a relatively more restricted motion of water molecules within high-grade tumors.

Conversely, there is relatively low ADC value of tumor grade II than grade III at the b-values of 750 and 2,000 sec/mm², probably due to disproportional number of patients between tumor grade II (69.23%) and tumor grade III (25.64%) and heterogeneity data of the ADC values in the same group. In addition, prostate cancer is frequently described as histologically heterogeneous and more than 50% of patients with prostatic cancer have at least three different Gleason grades(26,27).

Our study had several limitations. First, it was a retrospective study with a relatively small sample size, which could be influenced by selection and verification biases. In addition, there was disproportional number of patients in different tumor grading, the relationship between tumor grading and ADC values may therefore be weak. Larger prospectively studied patient population will be needed to refine the cutoff values, proper b-values, and the relationship between ADCs and tumor aggressiveness. Third, the radiologist was not blinded to histopathological findings when assessing ADC maps. Finally, the slice level in MR images cannot be matched exactly with that of histopathology, only side (lobe) of prostate gland was compared.
In conclusion, the study suggested that tumor show low ADC value than non-tumor areas at all b-values with statistical significance at b-value 500, 1,000, and 2,000 sec/mm². The ADC values showed a negative correlation between low and intermediate grades and between low and high grades tumor at the \( b = 1,000 \) and 2,000 sec/mm². DWI with ADC maps may be a useful tool for noninvasive assessment of the aggressiveness of prostate cancers that are visible on MR images.
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ประโยชน์ทางคลินิกของการตรวจการเคลื่อนที่ของโมเลกุลน้ำด้วยการตรวจเอกซเรย์ด้วยคลื่นแม่เหล็กไฟฟ้าในผู้ป่วยมะเร็งต่อมลูกหมาก

วัตถุประสงค์: เพื่อระบุความสัมพันธ์ระหว่าง apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) ที่ได้จากการตรวจเอกซเรย์ด้วยคลื่นแม่เหล็กไฟฟ้าในต่อมลูกหมากในค่า b-values ต่างกัน และระดับความรุนแรงของเนื้องอกตาม Gleason score ของเนื้องอกต่อมลูกหมากบริเวณ peripheral zone (PZ)

วัสดุและวิธีการ: เป็นการศึกษาหลังในผู้ป่วยมะเร็งต่อมลูกหมากบริเวณ PZ จำนวน 39 ราย ที่ได้รับการตรวจ endorectal diffusion-weighted (DW) magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) ก่อนการผ่าตัดต่อมลูกหมากชนิด radical prostatectomy ในช่วงเดือนมีนาคม พ.ศ. 2549 ถึงเดือนมีนาคม พ.ศ. 2553 โดยเปรียบเทียบค่า ADC ในบริเวณเนื้องอกและบริเวณที่ไม่มีเนื้องอกที่ b = 100, 250, 500, 750, 1,000 และ 2,000 sec/mm² โดยใช้ paired t-test และ sign-test และหาความสัมพันธ์ระหว่างค่า ADC และระดับความรุนแรงของเนื้องอก

ผลการศึกษา: ค่าเฉลี่ยค่า ADC บริเวณเนื้องอกจะต่ำกว่าบริเวณที่ไม่มีเนื้องอกในทุกค่า b-values อย่างมีนัยสำคัญทางสถิติ และมี negative correlation ระหว่างค่า ADC และระดับความรุนแรงของเนื้องอกที่ b = 1,000 sec/mm² ระหว่างเนื้องอกระดับ 1 (1.95x10⁻³ mm²/sec, SD = 0.33) กับเนื้องอกระดับ 2 (1.16x10⁻³ mm²/sec, SD = 0.07) (p = 0.03) และระหว่างเนื้องอกระดับ 1 กับเนื้องอกระดับ 3 (1.10x10⁻³ mm²/sec, SD = 0.36) (p = 0.002), และที่ b = 2,000 sec/mm², ระหว่างเนื้องอกระดับ 1 (2.21x10⁻³ mm²/sec, SD = 0.08) กับเนื้องอกระดับ 2 (1.22x10⁻³ mm²/sec, SD = 0.38) (p = 0.01), และระหว่างเนื้องอกระดับ 1 กับเนื้องอกระดับ 3 (1.32x10⁻³ mm²/sec, SD = 0.49) (p = 0.04) ในขณะที่ค่า ADC ระหว่างเนื้องอกระดับ 2 และ 3 ไม่แตกต่างกันในทุกค่า b-values

สรุป: บริเวณเนื้องอกจะมีการเคลื่อนที่ของโมเลกุลน้ำด้วยการวัดค่า ADC ระหว่างบริเวณที่ไม่มีเนื้องอก โดยมี negative correlation ระหว่างค่า ADC และระดับความรุนแรงของเนื้องอกที่ b = 1,000 และ 2,000 sec/mm² ดังนั้นการวัดค่า ADC values อาจเป็นเครื่องมือในการประเมินความรุนแรงของเนื้องอกลูกหมากเพื่อให้ข้อมูลทางคลินิกได้