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ABSTRACT

Let $I$ be a proper ideal of a commutative ring $R$. An element $a \in R$ is called almost prime to $I$ provided that $ra \in I - I^2$ (with $r \in R$) implies that $r \in I$. We denote by $A(I)$ the set of all elements of $R$ that are not almost prime to $I$. $I$ is called an almost primal ideal of $R$ if the set $A(I) \cup I^2$ forms an ideal of $R$. In this paper we first provide some results on almost primal ideals. We also study the relations among the primal ideals, weakly primal ideals and almost primal ideals of $R$.
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Lemma 4. Conversely.

Definition 5. Let \( I \) be an ideal of \( R \), and consider an integer \( n \geq 2 \). An element \( a \in R \) is called almost prime (resp. \( n \)-almost prime) to \( I \) if \( ra \in I - I^2 \) (resp. \( ra \in I - I^n \) (with \( r \in I \)) implies that \( r \in I \).

Example 2. Let \( R = Z / 24Z \) and consider the ideal \( I = 6Z / 24Z \) of \( R \). Clearly \( I^2 = 12Z / 24Z \). It is straightforward to show that \( 5 + 24Z \) is almost prime to \( I \).

Remarks 3. Let \( I \) be an ideal of \( R \). Denote by \( A(I) \) the set of all elements of \( R \) that are not almost prime to \( I \), and by \( A_n(I) \) the set of all elements of \( R \) that are not \( n \)-almost prime to \( I \) (so \( A_1(I) = A(I) \)). Then:

1. Every element of \( I^2 \) (resp. \( I^n \)) is almost prime (resp. \( n \)-almost prime) to \( I \).
2. If an element \( a \in R \) is prime to \( I \), then it is \( n \)-almost prime to \( I \) for every \( n \geq 2 \), but not conversely.

Lemma 4. Let \( I \) be an ideal of \( R \). If \( P = A(I) \cup I^2 \) (resp. \( P = A(I) \cup I^n \)) is an ideal of \( R \), then it is an almost prime (resp. \( n \)-almost prime) ideal of \( R \).

Proof. Let \( a, b \in R \) be such that \( ab \in P \). Then, \( rab \in I - I^2 \) for some \( r \in R - I \). Assume that \( a \notin P \). Then \( a \) is almost prime to \( I \). So \( rb \in I - I^2 \), implies that \( b \) is not almost prime to \( I \), that is \( b \notin P \).

Definition 5. Let \( I \) be an ideal of \( R \).

1. \( I \) is called almost primal if the set \( P = A(I) \cup I^2 \) forms an ideal of \( R \). This ideal \( P \) is an almost prime ideal of \( R \), called the almost prime adjoint ideal of \( I \). In this case we also say that \( I \) is a \( P \)-almost primal ideal.
2. \( I \) is called \( n \)-almost primal if the set \( P = A(I) \cup I^n \) forms an ideal of \( R \). This ideal \( P \) is an \( n \)-almost prime ideal of \( R \), called the \( n \)-almost prime adjoint ideal of \( I \). In this case we also say that \( I \) is a \( P \)-n-almost primal ideal.

Note that a 2-almost primal ideal is just an almost primal ideal.

The following example shows that all ideals of \( R \) need not necessarily be almost primal.

Example 6. Let \( R = Z / 24Z \) and consider the ideal \( I = 6Z / 24Z \) of \( R \). Clearly \( I^2 = 12Z / 24Z \). Then, \((2 + 24Z)(3 + 24Z) \in I - I^2\) with \( 2 + 24Z, 3 + 24Z \in R - I \). So \( 2 + 24Z \) and \( 3 + 24Z \) are not almost prime to \( I \). But \((2 + 24Z) + (3 + 24Z) = 5 + 24Z \) is almost prime to \( I \). This shows that \( A(I) \cup I^2 \) is not an ideal of \( R \). Therefore \( I \) is not almost primal.

Here we give several characterizations of almost primal ideals.

Proposition 7. Let \( I \) and \( P \) be proper ideals of \( R \). For every integer \( n \geq 2 \), the following statements are equivalent:

1. \( I \) is \( P \)-n-almost primal.
2. For every \( x \notin P - I^n \), \((I :_Rx) = I \cup (I :_Rx) \supseteq I \cup (I :_Rx)\) and for every \( x \in P - I^n \), \((I :_Rx) = I \cup (I :_Rx)\).
3. For every \( x \notin P - I^n \), \((I :_Rx) = I \cup (I :_Rx) \supseteq I \cup (I :_Rx)\) and for every \( x \in P - I^n \), \((I :_Rx) = I \cup (I :_Rx)\).

Proof. 1\( \Rightarrow \)2) Assume that \( I \) is \( P \)-n-almost primal. Then \( P - I^n \) consists entirely of elements of \( R \) that are not \( n \)-almost prime to \( I \). Let \( x \notin P - I^n \). Then \( x \) is \( n \)-almost prime to \( I \). Clearly \((I :_Rx) = I \cup (I :_Rx)\). For every \( r \in (I :_Rx) \), if \( rx \in I^n \), then \( r \in (I :_Rx) \), and if \( rx \notin I^n \), then \( x \) \( n \)-almost prime to \( I \) gives \( r \in I \). Hence \( r \in I \cup (I :_Rx) \), that is \((I :_Rx) \subseteq I \cup (I :_Rx)\). Therefore \((I :_Rx) = I \cup (I :_Rx)\).

Now assume that \( x \in P - I^n \). Then \( x \) is not \( n \)-almost prime to \( I \). So there exists \( r \in R - I \) such that \( rx \in I - I^n \). Hence \( r \in (I :_Rx) - (I \cup (I :_Rx)) \).
Let \( I \) be a proper ideal of \( R \) and \( (I :_R x) = I \cup (I :_R y) \), either \( I \subseteq (I :_R x) \) or \( (I :_R x) \subseteq I \). So either \( (I :_R x) = I \) or \( (I :_R x) = (I :_R y) \). Moreover, for every \( x \in \) \( P - I \), \( n \in I \). Hence \( I \) is prime. Assume that \( a \) and \( b \) are such that \( a \in P \) and \( b \in P \). We have two cases \( ab \in P \) and \( ab \in P \). In the former case, \( P \) almost prime gives \( a \in P \) or \( b \in P \). So we can assume that the latter case holds. First suppose that \( aP \subseteq P \). Then \( aP \subseteq P \) for some \( P \in P \). In this case \( a(b + P) \subseteq P \), and \( P \) almost prime gives \( a \in P \) or \( b \in P \). Hence \( a \in P \) or \( b \in P \). Thus we may assume that \( aP \subseteq P \).

Similarly we can assume that \( aP \subseteq P \). There exist \( p,q \in P \) with \( pq \in P \) since \( P \neq P \). In this case \( (a+p)(b+q) \subseteq P \). So \( P \) almost prime gives \( a+p \in P \) or \( b+p \in P \). Therefore \( a \in P \) or \( b \in P \). Hence \( P \) is prime.

Let \( I \) be a proper ideal of \( R \) and \( n \geq 2 \). Then \( I - I \subseteq A(I) \) (resp. \( I - I \subseteq A(I) \)). Hence, if \( I \) is a \( P \)-almost primal (resp. \( P \) almost primal) ideal of \( R \), then \( I \subseteq P \). This fact is used in the proof of the following result.

**Theorem 9.** Let \( n \geq 2 \). Let \( I \) be a \( P \) almost primal ideal of the commutative ring \( R \) such that \( P \) is a prime ideal. If \( I - I \neq I \), then \( I \) is primary.

**Proof.** It is enough to show that \( P \subseteq S(I) \).

For every \( a \in P \), if \( a \in I \), then \( a \in S(I) \) since \( I \subseteq S(I) \); and if \( a \notin I \), then \( a \) is not \( n \)-almost prime to \( I \), so, by Remark 3, \( a \) is not prime to \( I \), that is \( P \subseteq S(I) \). For the reverse containment assume that \( a \in S(I) \). There exists \( r \in R - I \) with \( ra \in I \). If \( ra \notin I \), then \( a \) is not \( n \)-almost prime to \( I \) and so \( a \notin P \). Suppose that \( ra \notin I \). If \( a \in I \), then \( a \notin P \). Suppose that \( ra \notin I \). If \( a \notin P \), then \( a \notin P \). Thus assume that \( ra \notin I \). Now \( a(r + r_0) \in I - I \) with \( r + r_0 \in R - I \) gives \( a \in P \). So assume that \( a \in P \). If \( I \in P \), then \( a \in I \) with \( ra \notin I \). Hence from \( (a+c)r \in I - I \) with \( r \in R - I \) we get \( a \in P \). Further, \( c \in I \), for otherwise, \( rc \in I \), a contradiction. Since \( I - I \subseteq P \), we have \( c \in P \). Consequently, \( a \in P \). Thus assume that \( I \in P \). Since \( I \neq I \), there exist \( a_0, b_0 \in I \) with \( a_0b_0 \notin I \). Now \( (a+a_0)(r + b_0) \in I - I \) with \( r + b_0 \in R - I \) implies \( a + a_0 \in P \). Hence \( a \in P \) again by the previous argument. Therefore in any case a lies in \( P \), that is \( S(I) \subseteq P \), and hence \( P = S(I) \). So \( I \) is \( P \)-primary.

**Definition 10.** Let \( I \) be a proper ideal of \( R \). \( I \) is said to be almost primary if, for \( a,b \in R \), \( ab \in I - I \) implies \( a \in I \) or \( b \in I \). If \( I \subseteq P \), then \( I \) is called \( P \)-almost primary.

**Theorem 11.** Every almost primary ideal of a commutative ring \( R \) is \( P \)-primary. In particular, every almost prime ideal is almost primal.

**Proof.** Let \( I \) be a \( P \)-primary ideal of \( R \). For every \( a \in A(I) \), there exists \( r \in R - I \) with \( ra \in I - I \). Then as \( I \) is \( P \)-primary we get \( ra \in S(I) \). This implies that \( A(I) \cup I \subseteq S(I) \). Now assume that \( a \in P - I \). Suppose that \( m \) is the least positive integer for which \( a^m \in I - I \). Then \( ad^{m+1} \in I - I \) with \( d^{m+1} \in R - I \) implies that \( a \) is not almost prime to \( I \). Thus \( P \subseteq A(I) \cup I \), and hence we have \( P = A(I) \cup I \), that is \( I \) is \( P \)-primary. The proof of the last part is easy, because every almost prime ideal is almost primary.
The concept of weakly primary ideals introduced in [6]. Recall that a proper ideal \( Q \) of \( R \) is said to be weakly primary if \( 0 \neq ab \in Q \) implies \( a \in Q \) or \( b \in Q \). In this case \( P = \sqrt{Q} \) is a weakly prime ideal of \( R \), and \( Q \) is called \( P \)-weakly primary. The following result provides the relations between almost primal and weakly primal ideals as well as between almost primary and weakly primary ideals.

**Theorem 12.** Let \( I \) and \( P \) be proper ideals of \( R \) with \( I \subseteq P \). Then

1. \( I \) is a \( P \)-almost primal ideal of \( R \) if and only if \( I / I^2 \) is a \( P / I^2 \)-weakly primal ideal of \( R / I^2 \).
2. \( I \) is a \( P \)-almost primary ideal of \( R \) if and only if \( I / I^2 \) is a \( P / I^2 \)-weakly primary ideal of \( R / I^2 \).

**Proof.** Set \( \overline{R} = R / I^2, \overline{T} = I / I^2, \overline{P} = P / I^2 \) and denote by \( \overline{a} \) the coset \( a / I^2 \) for each \( a \in R \).

1. First assume that \( I \) is a \( P \)-almost primal ideal of \( R \). Suppose that \( \overline{a} \in \overline{R} \) is not weakly prime to \( \overline{T} \). Then \( \overline{a} \neq \overline{0} \) and \( \overline{0} \neq \overline{ab} \in \overline{T} \) for some \( \overline{b} \in \overline{R} - \overline{T} \). This implies that \( a \overline{a} \notin \overline{I} \) and \( ab \in I - I^2 \) with \( b \in R - I \), that is \( a \) is not almost prime to \( I \). So that \( a \in P - I^2 \), that is \( \overline{a} \in \overline{P} - \overline{0} \). Therefore \( w(\overline{T}) \cup \{ \overline{0} \} \subseteq \overline{P} \), where \( w(\overline{T}) \) denotes the set of all \( \overline{a} \in \overline{R} \) such that \( \overline{a} \) is not weakly prime to \( \overline{T} \). Now pick an element \( \overline{c} \in \overline{P} - \overline{0} \). Then there exists \( \overline{a} \in \overline{I} \) such that \( c + a \in \overline{P} \). This implies that \( c + a \) is not almost prime to \( I \). So that \( (c + a) d \in \overline{I} \) for some \( d \in R - I \). Since \( (c + a) - c = a \in \overline{I} \), we have \( c + a = \overline{c} \). Then \( \overline{0} \neq \overline{cd} \in \overline{T} \) with \( \overline{d} \in \overline{R} - \overline{T} \). Therefore \( \overline{c} \in \overline{P} \) is not weakly prime to \( \overline{T} \), that is \( \overline{P} \subseteq w(\overline{T}) \cup \{ \overline{0} \} \), and hence we have \( \overline{P} = w(\overline{T}) \cup \{ \overline{0} \} \).

Consequently \( \overline{I} \) is a \( \overline{P} \)-weakly prime ideal of \( \overline{R} \). Conversely, if \( \overline{I} \) is a \( \overline{P} \)-weakly prime ideal of \( \overline{R} \), it is straight forward as above to show that \( A(\overline{I}) = P - I^2 \). This implies that \( I \) is a \( P \)-almost prime ideal of \( R \).

2. Assume that \( I \) is \( P \)-almost primary in \( R \). Let \( \overline{ab} \in \overline{R} \) be such that \( 0 \neq \overline{ab} \in \overline{T} \). Then \( ab \in I - I^2 \) and \( I \) almost primary gives either \( a \in I \) or \( b \in \sqrt{I} = P \). Therefore, either \( \overline{a} \in \overline{T} \) or \( \overline{b} \in \overline{P} \). Hence \( \overline{T} \) is weakly primary.

Conversely, assume that \( \overline{T} \) is \( \overline{P} \)-weakly primary. Let \( \overline{a}, \overline{b} \in \overline{R} \) be such that \( \overline{ab} \in \overline{I} - \overline{I}^2 \). Then \( 0 \neq \overline{ab} \in \overline{T} \). Since \( \overline{T} \) is \( \overline{P} \)-weakly primary, we get either \( \overline{a} \in \overline{T} \) or \( \overline{b} \in \sqrt{\overline{T}} = \overline{P} \). So either \( a \in I \) or \( b \in P \) as needed.

**Proposition 13.** Let \( J \) and \( P \) be ideals of \( R \) with \( J \subseteq P^2 \). Then \( P \) is an almost prime ideal of \( R \) if and only if \( P / J \) is an almost prime ideal of \( R / J \).

**Proof.** If \( P \) is almost prime, then \( P / J \) is almost prime by [7, Proposition 15]. Now assume that \( P / J \) is almost prime, and let \( a, b \in R \) are such that \( ab \in P - P^2 \).

Then \( (a + J)(b + J) \in (P / J)^2 \) since \( J \subseteq P^2 \). As \( P / J \) is almost prime, it follows that either \( a + J \) or \( b + J \) is in \( P / J \). Thus either \( a \) or \( b \) is in \( P \), that is \( P \) is almost prime.

**Theorem 14.** Let \( I \) and \( J \) be ideals of \( R \) with \( J \subseteq I \subseteq P \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). Then \( I \) is an almost primal ideal of \( R \) if and only if \( I / J \) is an almost primal ideal of \( R / J \).

**Proof.** First assume that \( I \) is a \( P \)-almost primal ideal of \( R \). Then, by Lemma 4, and [7, Proposition 15], \( P / J \) is an almost prime ideal of \( R / J \). We show that \( I / J \) is a \( P / J \)-almost primal ideal of \( R / J \). We claim that \( R / J = A(\overline{I} / J) \cup (\overline{I} / J)^2 \). Let \( A + J \in P / J - (\overline{I} / J)^2 \). Then \( a \in P - I^2 \), that is \( a \) is not almost prime to \( I \). Hence there exists \( r \in R - I \) with \( ra \in I - I^2 \). So we have \( (r + J)(a + J) \in (\overline{I} / J) - (\overline{I} / J)^2 \) with \( r + J \in R / J - I / J \). Hence \( a + J \) is not almost prime to \( I / J \). Now assume that \( b + J \) is not almost prime to \( I / J \). Then \( b + J \notin (\overline{I} / J)^2 \) and there exists \( r + J \in R / J - I / J \) with \( rb + J = (r + J)(b + J) \in I / J - (\overline{I} / J)^2 \). Hence \( rb \in I - I^2 \) with \( r \notin I \), that is \( b \) is not almost prime to \( I \). Hence \( b \in P - I^2 \) and so \( b \)
+ J ∈ P / J – (I / J)². We have already shown that P / J – (I / J)² consists exactly of elements of R / J that are not almost prime to I / J. Hence I / J is almost primal with the adjoint ideal P / J. Conversely, assume that I / J is a P / J–almost primal ideal of R / J. We will show that I is a P–almost primal ideal of R.

By Lemma 4, P / J is an almost prime ideal of R / J. Also J ⊆ I² ⊆ P² by our assumption. Hence P is an almost prime ideal of R by Proposition 13. It suffices to show that P–I² consists exactly of elements of R that are not almost prime to I. Let a ∈ P – I². Then a + J ∈ (P / J) – (I / J)², that is a + J is not almost prime to I / J. Hence there exists r + J ∈ (R / J) – (I / J) such that (a + J)(r + J) ∈ (I / J) – (I / J)². 

Therefore ar ∈ I – I² with r ∈ R – J. This implies that a is not almost prime to I. Now assume that b is not almost prime to I. Then there exists r ∈ R – I with rb ∈ I – I². Consequently (r + J)(b + J) = rb + J ∈ (I / J) – (I / J)² with r + J ∉ I / J. Hence b + J is not almost prime to I / J, that is a + J ∈ (P / J) – (I / J)²; so a ∈ P – I². It follows that P–I² is exactly the set of elements of R that are not almost prime to I. Hence I is P–almost primal.
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