Pericardial Effusion in Childhood Nephrotic Syndrome
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**Background:** Nephrotic syndrome (NS) is one of the most common renal diseases in children, which is defined as idiopathic NS and secondary NS. Current data on adult showed that pericardial effusion was related only to SLE, but not to non-SLE nephrotic patients. Until now there were no studies about children.

**Objective:** To compare the frequency and clinical manifestations of pericardial effusion in childhood NS with SLE and non-SLE patients.

**Material and Method:** Consecutive cases of NS at Queen Sirikit National Institute of Child Health (QSNICH) from June 2004 to May 2005 were prospectively studied. Information concerning the following: gender, age, clinical manifestations, laboratory investigation and echocardiogram in each patient were obtained.

**Results:** A total of 37 cases were included, 13 with SLE and 24 with idiopathic cause. Pericardial effusion was found without any symptoms and signs of pericardial disease in both groups; 9 cases (69.2%) of SLE and 2 cases (8.3%) of non-SLE patients. Statistically significant differences were demonstrated between two groups (p = 0.001).

**Conclusion:** Pericardial effusion in childhood NS was more frequent in SLE than non-SLE nephrotic patients statistically significant. This result was different from previous study in adult which revealed no pericardial effusion in non-SLE group.
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Pericardium is composed of visceral and parietal components which encloses a potential space (pericardial cavity) between these two serosal layers. This cavity is normally lubricated by a very small amount of serous fluid, 15-35 mL in adults. Inflammation of the pericardium or obstruction of lymphatic drainage from the pericardium of any etiologies cause an increase in fluid volume, referred to as a pericardial effusion. Excess of effusion may accumulate in acute pericarditis, post-pericardiectomy syndrome, connective tissue diseases, tuberculosis, uremia, chronic dialysis and myxedema(1,2).

Nephrotic syndrome (NS) is clinical entity characterized by heavy proteinuria resulting in hypoalbuminemia and edema formation which is divided into 2 groups as idiopathic and secondary NS. Many factors are commonly cited as possible ‘causes’ or temporally associated conditions for idiopathic NS which include infectious diseases, drugs, allergies, vaccinations, and some malignancies. It is not at all clear what final common pathway permits these differing factors to result in the common clinical and pathological outcome of minimal change NS, or less commonly focal and segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS), or how this relates to ideas on pathogenesis(3). The data indicated that approximately 80% of children with renal disease had idiopathic NS, as opposed to only 25% of adults. Chronic glomerulonephritis was responsible for about 50% of the cases of NS in adults but only 10% to 15% of childhood cases. These glomerulonephritides may result from a systemic disease, such as systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)(4).
General systemic symptoms such as fever, malaise, and weight loss; and evidence of diffuse inflammation as demonstrated by lymphadenopathy and hepatosplenomegaly are common in SLE. This is true both at diagnosis and throughout the course of the disease. Nephropathy, fever, lymphadenopathy, and the requirement for the use of corticosteroids have been reported to be more common in children than in adults. Involvement of the heart in SLE has been recognized for approximately a century which may cause a pancarditis with abnormalities of pericardium, myocardium and coronary arteries. The incidence of pericardial effusion in this condition occurs more than 50% of the patients with active disease. The results of pericardial fluid analyses may mimic those seen in bacterial pericarditis, and on occasions this latter diagnosis is difficult to distinguish. Studies of pericardial fluid have shown the presence of an antinuclear antibody (ANA), LE cells, and even hypocomplementemia\(^5\).

Previous data in adult showed no pericardial effusion in non-SLE nephrotic patients but there were 8 cases with pericardial effusion in 40 SLE associated with NS\(^6\). The objective of the present study was to compare the incidence and clinical manifestations of pericardial effusion in childhood NS with SLE to non-SLE patients.

### Material and Method

#### Study population

The study population consisted of all pediatric inpatients and outpatients diagnosed as active NS at Queen Sirikit National Institute of Child Health (QSNICH) from June 2004 to May 2005. The patients were divided into 2 groups: SLE and non-SLE nephrotic patients. The criteria used for the diagnosis of SLE were those proposed by American Rheumatism Association (ARA) in 1982 and 1997\(^3\). The inclusion criteria were nephrotic range proteinuria and hypalbuminemia with edema. Nephrotic range proteinuria was defined as (1) urine protein more than 50 mg/kg/day or 40 mg/m\(^2\)/hr and/or (2) urine protein to creatinine ratio more than 3. Hypalbuminemia was defined as serum albumin level less than 2.5 g/dL. Exclusion criteria, the patients were excluded from the study if they had (1) hemodynamic instability (2) cardiovascular anomaly and/or post cardiothoracic surgery (3) infection, sepsis and/or septicemia. Demographic data such as gender, age, clinical manifestations of SLE, complete blood count, serum cholesterol, creatinine, albumin, complement, antinuclear antibody, anti-dsDNA, urine for protein and creatinine in each patient were prospectively collected. Echocardiography was performed in a standard fashion by the only pediatric cardiologist who was blinded to the underlying diagnosis. The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee.

### Statistical analysis

Data were expressed as percentage and mean ± SD. Statistical analysis were conducted using SPSS. All p-value presented as two tailed, p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Results

The 37 pediatrics patients with active NS were included which consisted of 13 with SLE and 24 with non-SLE. The demographic characteristics and overall data were revealed in Table 1. The patients in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SLE (n = 13)</th>
<th>Non-SLE (n = 24)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>12.20 ± 2.40</td>
<td>5.7 ± 3.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: Male</td>
<td>3 (23.1%)</td>
<td>14 (58.3%)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb (g/dL)</td>
<td>9.75 ± 2.17</td>
<td>13.34 ± 2.49</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>319.40 ± 271.00</td>
<td>537.00 ± 169.00</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine (mg/dL)</td>
<td>1.42 ± 2.00</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum albumin (g/dL)</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.55</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.34</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3 (IU/ml)</td>
<td>48.40 ± 30.20</td>
<td>113.40 ± 57.90</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low C3 (&lt; 50 IU/ml)</td>
<td>9 (69.2%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANA positive</td>
<td>13 (100%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-dsDNA positive</td>
<td>9 (69.2%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural effusion</td>
<td>9 (69.2%)</td>
<td>6 (25.0%)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericardial effusion</td>
<td>9 (69.2%)</td>
<td>2 (8.3%)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SLE groups were older (mean age: 12.2 ± 2.4 in SLE versus 5.7 ± 3.1 in non-SLE, p = 0.001) and had higher ratio between female to male (4.7:1 in SLE versus 1:1.4 in non-SLE). The mean values of hemoglobin (p = 0.01), serum cholesterol (p = 0.004) and complement (p = 0.001) were significantly lower in the nephrotic patients with SLE. The pericardial effusion was found in SLE more frequently than non SLE-nephrotic patients (p = 0.001), so as pleural effusion from chest x-ray (p = 0.02). None of the patients had signs or symptoms of pericardial disease.

Discussion

The result of this present study showed the significant differences of age, hemoglobin, serum albumin, cholesterol and creatinine level between the groups. In general, age group of SLE is not the same as NS. Most cases of SLE occur after age 5, with a peak incidence in late childhood or adolescent while childhood NS is common in 1-6 years old(9,10).

Low albumin level is included in the definitions of NS which may be due to chronic disease, iron deficiency, autoimmune hemolytic, blood loss (from gastrointestinal tract or other organs), chronic renal insufficiency and suppression of the bone marrow by drugs (such as azathioprine or cyclophosphamide)(11,12). But minimal change NS might present with hemo concentration because hypoalbuminemia induces falling of oncotic pressure and intravascular depletion respectively. In this present data revealed 6 cases of polycythemia in 24 non-SLE nephrotic patients but no polycythemia was found in SLE group (p = 0.04).

Renal involvement is the major cause of mortality in patients with SLE. Lupus nephritis has been reported in 29% to 80% of pediatric cases depending on whether the reporting investigators are rheumatologists or nephrologists. In approximately 90% of patients with renal lupus, the nephritis is manifested within the first year after diagnosis of SLE. When the kidney becomes involved in lupus, there are many symptoms which range from none, to mild hematuria, proteinuria and cellular casts to a clinical picture of nephritic syndrome with hypertension, edema and renal failure. Up to 50% of children with childhood lupus nephritis have a decreased glomerular filtration rate (GFR)(9,15,16). Compare with NS, renal function is usually normal. Some patients have a reduction of GFR attributed to hypovolemia, with complete return to normal after remission. A reduced GFR may also be found despite normal effective plasma flow which revealed a close relationship between the degree of foot-process effacement and both the GFR and the filtration fraction, suggesting that foot process effacement leads to a reduction of the glomerular filtering area and/or of permeability to water and small solutes. This reduction is also transitory, with rapid return to normal after remission (3,17).

There are two distinct renal mechanisms of edema formation. In the first, characteristic of the acute nephritic syndrome and renal failure, a primary failure to excrete salt and water results in expansion of intravascular volume, hypertension and pulmonary congestion. In the second, as in nephrotic syndrome, edema results from hypoalbuminemia, the diminished colloid osmotic pressure of plasma leading to seepage of fluid from intravascular into interstitial compartment, with contraction of plasma volume secondarily causing salt and water retention. On the other hand, there is also evidence for a primary increase in distal tubular reabsorption in nephrotic patients(18,19). In minimal change NS, the most consistent change apparent from the renal hemodynamic studies was a low filtration fraction. Also with other nephrotic lesions such as Heymann nephritis, filtration fraction is also low associated with high tubular sodium reabsorption and absence of signs of a decreased effective circulating volume. The pathogenesis of the increased tubular reabsorption in humans is still incompletely understood; however, until now the data in nephrotic children do not suggest a fundamental difference between minimal change and non-minimal change nephrotic pattern(20-25).

Due to fluid retention, nephrotic patients could present with peripheral edema, ascites, pleural effusion and also with pericardial effusion. But on the previous data documented that there was no association of pericardial effusion and NS except for the report case of a 67-year-old man with NS attributed to long-standing diabetic mellitus, his echocardiography...
showed a moderate to large pericardial effusion with right atrial collapse\(^{26}\). Gobel U et al\(^{6}\), compared 20 nephrotic patients with SLE to 20 nephrotic patients with other causes; revealed 8 SLE-nephrotic patients had pericardial effusion while none of the non-SLE nephritic patients developed pericardial effusion. They described that the appearance of pericardial fluid in nephrotic patients strongly suggested a diagnosis of SLE or perhaps other secondary causes and pericardial effusion did not appear to be a benign accompaniment of extra-cellular volume expansion related to NS, at least in adult. Interestingly, in this present data showed not only pleural effusion but also pericardial effusion in both groups. Pleural and pericardial effusion occurred in nephrotic patients associated with SLE more frequently than non-SLE. The cause of pleural and pericardial effusion in non-SLE nephrotic patient might be from abnormal leakage of fluid from the plasma to the interstitial space across the capillaries\(^{27}\), but nephritis and serositis might be included in the etiology of SLE\(^{28}\). All patients who had pericardial effusion were clinically silent; neither of them had signs or symptoms of cardiovascular compromise nor pericardial disease.

From the present data, there did not perform pericardiocentesis on all patients, so we could not identify the etiology of the fluid accumulation to determine if it was transudate or exudate. None of our patients had cardiovascular sequelae after treatment.

In conclusion, this is the report of a prospective study about the occurrence and clinical manifestations of pericardial effusion in childhood NS which demonstrated that pericardial effusion was more common in SLE-nephrotic patients but it could happen in NS with other causes. The result of this data was different from previous study in adult which revealed no pericardial effusion in non-SLE nephrotic patients.
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ภูมิหลัง: กลุ่มอาการเนฟทิดิคพบได้บ่อยในผู้ป่วยเด็ก ซึ่งจะส่งผลให้เกิดกลุ่มอาการน้ำในช่องเอื้อกู้มุร่า ซึ่งผู้ป่วยกลุ่มอาการเนฟทิดิคที่พบช่วงกับภาวะ systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) และผู้ป่วยกลุ่มอาการเนฟทิดิคที่ไม่ได้พบช่วงกับภาวะ SLE นั้นสูงอยู่ แต่ยังไม่มีการศึกษาในเด็ก

วัตถุประสงค์: เพื่อเรียนรู้คุณลักษณะและอาการแสดงทางคลินิกของการน้ำในช่องเอื้อกู้มุร่าระหว่างผู้ป่วยกลุ่มอาการเนฟทิดิคที่พบช่วงกับภาวะ SLE และผู้ป่วยกลุ่มอาการเนฟทิดิคที่ไม่ได้พบช่วงกับภาวะ SLE ในเด็ก

วิสัยและวิธีการ: ศึกษาแบบ prospective ในกลุ่มผู้ป่วยเด็กที่รับการวินิจฉัยว่าเป็นเนฟทิดิคที่เข้ามาติดต่อความรู้สึกในสถานบันทึกด้วยจิตใจทางนิสิต ระหว่างเดือนมิถุนายน พ.ศ. 2547 ถึงเดือนพฤษภาคม พ.ศ. 2548 โดยมีเด็กที่มีอาการเด็กที่มีอาการแสดงทางคลินิก, แต่ส่งเข้าตรวจโดยประสบการณ์เฉพาะเจาะจง เห็นสาเหตุ ผลการศึกษา: ผู้ป่วยกลุ่มอาการเนฟทิดิค 37 รายแบ่งเป็นกลุ่มที่พบช่วงกับภาวะ SLE 13 ราย และไม่ได้พบช่วงกับภาวะ SLE 24 ราย พบภาวะน้ำในช่องเอื้อกู้มุร่าที่ใช้ในกลุ่มอาการเนฟทิดิคทั้ง 2 กลุ่มไม่ได้พบทางคลินิก พบภาวะน้ำในช่องเอื้อกู้มุร่าที่ใช้ในกลุ่มอาการเนฟทิดิคทั้ง 2 ราย (ร้อยละ 8.3) เมื่อเรียนรู้คุณลักษณะการเกิดภาวะน้ำในช่องเอื้อกู้มุเราระหว่างผู้ป่วยที่เคยมีการเด็กในกลุ่มจะพบว่ามีความแตกต่างกันอย่างมีนัยสำคัญ (p = 0.001)
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