



Water Footprint and Virtual Water Flow of Cassava Starch of Thailand

Manuswee Phanichnok [a], Khanidtha Meevasana [b] and Pongthep Suwanwaree*[a]

[a] School of Biology, Institute of Science, Suranaree University of Technology, Nakhon Ratchasima, 30000, Thailand.

[b] School of Occupational Health and Safety, Institute of Public Health, Suranaree University of Technology, 3000, Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand.

*Author for correspondence; e-mail: pongthep@sut.ac.th

Received: 25 January 2018

Revised: 18 July 2019

Accepted: 20 August 2019

ABSTRACT

Cassava starch is a crucial agro-industry in Thailand. Cassava is extensively cultivated and processed into the final products in the country to be consumed and exported. Cassava cultivation and processing have a large impact on water resources, and also creates stress on water availability. Water footprint (WF) is the tool used for measuring the total amount of water consumed by an individual or an entire nation, and can be used to provide a clear overview mapping of water use during the time in which the product is consumed or traded. The aims of this study were to calculate the average WF of cassava starch production from crop to final product consumed under the WF concept methodology, and to assess the virtual water flow (VWF) of cassava starch trade in Thailand during the period of 2008-2013. The results showed that the average WF of crop cultivation was equal to 528 m³/ton, consisting of green (187 m³/ton), blue (251 m³/ton) and grey (90 m³/ton) components. The average WF of cassava starch was equal to 1,945 m³/ton product, consisting of green (678 m³/ton), blue (925 m³/ton) and grey (342 m³/ton), respectively. The amount of water required for crop cultivation is associated with the climatic and soil conditions. Moreover, adopting good farming practices and the most efficient irrigated water scheduling can reduce the amount of water needed per ton of crop cultivation. However, the water used in crop processing depends on processing operation and technology. The VWF of global exported cassava starch from Thailand was 3.68 billion m³/year, almost 85% of which was distributed throughout Asia. The largest importers of cassava starch are China, Indonesia and Taiwan. The import of cassava starch might be able to support countries that have water scarcity problems. Instead of cultivating and processing it nationally, the import of cassava starch can help lessen the burden on domestic water resources.

Keywords: cassava, water footprint, crop cultivation, processing, trade, Thailand

1. INTRODUCTION

Cassava and its derivative are consumed in a variety of forms by humans. Cassava starch can further be processed into confectionery, sweeteners, glues, textiles, paper, etc. Moreover, cassava chips

and pellets are used in animal feed and alcohol production [1]. According to FAOSTAT [2], it was reported that there is 20.1 million hectares used for global cassava cultivation and 254 million tons

produced per year; it also stated that Thailand is the second-largest cassava producer in the world and the largest exporter of cassava starch. Cassava is cultivated in the Northern, Northeastern and Central Plains of Thailand. During the years 2008-2013, the average harvested area of cassava in Thailand as reported by the OAE [3-4] was around 1.26 million hectares, which produced 25.9 million tons of cassava per year. This was comprised of approximately 55% fresh cassava root transformed to starch and 65% of processed starch exported worldwide [5]. Nowadays, the cultivation of cassava consumes a large amount of both rainwater and irrigated water. To ensure high productivity, chemical fertilizers are applied to the crop area. The leaching of fertilizers from agricultural fields is one of the main causes of non-point source pollution of surface and sub-surface water bodies [6]. Moreover, cassava starch processing also requires a high volume of water consumption and the wastewater generated throughout the process has a detrimental effect on the environment. The water consumed for cassava starch production shows how much water is withdrawn from natural water resources to produce these products.

The water footprint (WF) concept was introduced by Hoekstra *et al.* [7], as an indicator of how to calculate the total volume of freshwater that is used to produce the products and services along the different steps of the supply chain. Chapagain and Hoekstra [8] described WF as an indicator of human appropriation of freshwater resources that incorporates both direct and indirect water use of a consumer or producer. Moreover, the water consumed in the production process of an agricultural or industrial product has been called the 'virtual water' contained in the product [9]. Hofwegen [10] explains that virtual form of water can be transported from one country to another country through the products and helps to support water-scarce countries. WF shows water consumption volume by source and the amount of water that is polluted by type of pollution

[11]. WF consists of three components; green, blue and grey WFs. The green WF expresses the volume of rainwater that evaporates during crop growth; the blue WF refers to the volume of the surface and groundwater in a catchment area that evaporates due to crop growth; and the grey WF defines the volume of freshwater that is required to assimilate the load of pollutants based on natural background concentrations and existing ambient water quality standards [11]. This tool has evolved independently from the life cycle assessment (LCA) that focuses on the water-resource management perspective [12]. The aim of this study was to calculate the WF and virtual water flow (VWF) of cassava starch trade in Thailand during 2008-2013. This calculation was divided into three components; green, blue and grey WFs. The information acquired from this study will be useful for water resource planning and policy management in crop production in the future, including industrial processing and also for worldwide trade.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Scope of This Study

The scope of this study was divided into three categories; crop cultivation, production and commodity trade, as shown in Figure 1. The calculations of WF were conducted using the Water Footprint Assessment Manual introduced by Hoekstra *et al.* [11]. CROPWAT 8.0 software program was used to measure the green and blue components, developed by the Land and Water Development Division of FAO. The grey component calculation was based on nitrogen application rates, the leaching-runoff fraction and the agreed water quality standards for nitrate concentration. The studied crop area covered 45 provinces which were located in the Northern (13), Northeastern (19) and Central Plains (13) of Thailand. The production section was conducted in 3 starch factories. The data of water use in starch production was gathered from 24 factories (3 primary data sets and 21 secondary data sets




Figure 1. Diagram for assessment steps of water footprint and virtual water flow of cassava starch.

from Chavalparit and Ongwandee [13], Khongsiri [14], Jakrawatana *et al.* [15] and Usubharatana and Phungrassami [16]). In the last section of this study, the VWF of cassava starch was calculated by considering the flow of virtual water through the cassava starch import and export activities. However, the WF calculation did not include the water use for transportation processes both on a local and international level.

2.2 Water Footprint of Crop Cultivation

The global production of cassava is shown in Table 1. In Thailand, cassava is a major crop that has been cultivated in large areas. Cassava is usually planted in May and harvested in April, the following year. The total growing period is approximately 360 days. In this study, the data

on fertilizer application rates recommended by the DOA was used [17]. The application of N, P_2O_5 and K_2O fertilizers depends on soil types and crop-growing stages.

The total WF (m^3/ton) of cassava cultivation is calculated by the sum of green, blue and grey components, as shown below:

$$WF_{total} = WF_{green} + WF_{blue} + WF_{grey} \quad (1)$$

The green and blue WFs can be calculated using the Equation (2) and (3):

$$WF_{green, blue} = \frac{CWU_{green, blue}}{Y} \quad (2)$$

Table 1. Production of cassava worldwide (Africa, Asia, South America and the Caribbean) from 2008-2013 [2].

Countries	Production (ton/year)	Harvested area (ha)	Yield (ton/ha)
Africa	139,205,087	13,354,180	10.42
Nigeria	44,747,456	4,608,732	9.71
DR Congo	14,922,104	1,839,925	8.11
Ghana	13,643,979	872,305	15.64
Others	65,891,548	6,033,218	10.92
Asia	81,669,037	4,056,207	20.13
Thailand	25,918,738	1,255,541	20.64
Indonesia	23,284,772	1,155,361	20.15
Viet Nam	9,304,553	535,642	17.37
Others	23,160,974	1,109,663	20.87
South America	31,084,034	2,321,245	13.39
Brazil	24,325,398	1,731,942	14.05
Paraguay	2,398,675	176,084	13.62
Colombia	2,119,337	204,480	10.36
Others	2,240,624	208,739	10.73
Caribbean	2,047,680	375,970	5.45
Haiti	433,842	97,800	4.44
Cuba	421,089	68,518	6.15
Dominican R	168,909	21,667	7.80
Others	1,023,840	187,985	5.45
World	254,005,838	20,107,602	12.63

$$CWU_{green, blue} = 10 \sum_{d=1}^{lgb} ET_{green, blue} \quad (3)$$

Where, $CWU_{green, blue}$ (m^3/ton) is the crop water use of green or blue, Y (ton/ha) is the crop yield, $ET_{green, blue}$ (mm/day) is the daily evapotranspiration, lgb (days) is the length of growing period, and the factor 10 is applied to convert the unit from mm into m^3/ha . The $ET_{green, blue}$ values for each province were estimated by using the CROPWAT 8.0 software program. The data required to estimate the evapotranspiration i.e. climatic data, crop characteristics and soil data were obtained from different sources (Table 2).

The grey WF based on the use of nitrogen fertilizer was calculated by using the equation (4):

$$WF_{grey} = \frac{(\alpha \times AR) / (C_{maximum} - C_{natural})}{Y} \quad (4)$$

Where, AR (kg/ha) is the nitrogen fertilizer application rate per hectare, α is the leaching-runoff fraction, $C_{maximum}$ (kg/m^3) is the maximum acceptable concentration, $C_{natural}$ (kg/m^3) is the natural concentration for the pollutant considered, and Y (ton/ha) is the crop yield.

The leaching-runoff fraction (α) equaled to 10% of the applied nitrogen fertilizers [11]. The recommended maximum acceptable concentration

Table 2. Parameters and data sources for calculation the evapotranspiration.

Parameters	Data input	Data sources
Climatic data	- Maximum temperature (°C) - Minimum temperature (°C) - Humidity (%) - Sunshine hours (hours) - Wind speed (km/day) - Total rainfall (mm/month)	[18]
Crop characteristics	- Crop coefficient (K_c) - Length of crop in each development stage (day) - Root depth (m) - Critical depletion (%) - Crop height (m) - Yield response factor (K_y)	[19]
Soil data	- Total available soil moisture (mm/m) - Maximum rain infiltration rate (mm/day) - Maximum rooting depth (m) - Initial soil moisture depletion (mm/m)	[20]

of nitrate-nitrogen in surface and groundwater in relation to Thai water quality standards was 5 mg/l [21] and the natural nitrogen concentration in the receiving water bodies was assumed to be zero [11]. The amount of nitrogen fertilizer content depends on soil type i.e. 100 kg/ha for sand, sandy loam and loam; 50 kg/ha for clay loam and clay [17].

2.3 Water Footprint of Crop Production

A stepwise accumulative approach was used to calculate the WF. The WF of cassava starch was associated with the amount of water used in cassava crops grown through the process of cassava starch production (Figure 3). The average WF of cassava starch production was calculated using equation (5):

$$WF_{prod}[p] = \left(WF_{proc}[p] + \sum_{i=1}^y \frac{WF_{prod}[i]}{f_p[p,i]} \right) \times f_v[p] \quad (5)$$

Where, $WF_{prod}[p]$ (m^3 /ton) is the WF of output product p , $WF_{prod}[i]$ (m^3 /ton) is WF of input product i , and $WF_{proc}[p]$ (m^3 /ton) is WF of the processing step. $f_p[p,i]$ (ton/ton) is a product fraction defined as the quantity of the output product per quantity of input product, and $f_v[p]$ (monetary unit/monetary unit) is the ratio of the market value of this product to the aggregated market value of all the outputs products obtained from the input products [11].

2.4 Virtual Water Flow of Crop Production Trade in Thailand

The volume of green, blue and grey VWFs of cassava starch were taken into account in the determination of VWF of cassava trade. The VWF of cassava starch export; VWF_{exp} (m^3 /year) from Thailand equals to the quantities of cassava starch that are exported; CT_{exp} (ton/year) multiplies by the WF of its product; WF_{prod} (m^3 /ton) as shown follow:

$$VWF_{exp} = CT_{exp} \times WF_{prod} \quad (6)$$

Also, VWF of cassava starch import; VWF_{imp} ($m^3/year$) in a country was multiplied by the quantities of cassava starch that are imported; CT_{imp} (ton/year) by WF of its product; WF_{prod} (m^3/ton) as shown below:

$$VWF_{imp} = CT_{imp} \times WF_{prod} \quad (7)$$

The net VWF of trade cassava starch; VWF_{net} ($m^3/year$) was used to estimate the difference between the VWF of export; VWF_{exp} ($m^3/year$) and VWF of import; VWF_{imp} ($m^3/year$) as shown below:

$$VWF_{net} = VWF_{exp} - VWF_{imp} \quad (8)$$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Water Footprint of Cassava Cultivation

The WF of cassava cultivation in three regions of Thailand (45 provinces) were calculated in this study. Data from Table 3 shows the average total WF of cassava root was $528 m^3/ton$, which can be divided into $187 m^3/ton$ of green, $251 m^3/ton$ of blue and $90 m^3/ton$ of grey, respectively. The top

3 provinces that had the highest WF were Ubon Ratchathani, Khonkaen and Kalasin, respectively (Figure 2). In most provinces, the blue WF was higher than green and grey, which implied that there was a large section of water used for irrigation. In order to reduce the blue proportion in cassava cultivation, an alteration to the plantation period was suggested. Instead of planting in May (the beginning of rainy season in Thailand), farmers can plant earlier, 150 days before the beginning of rainy season. After 150 days, cassava reaches the development and middle states; as this which requires a high volume of water, the high amount of rainwater in the rainy reason would be beneficial. The WF of cassava cultivation in each province differed due to the variation in climate and soil conditions. However, some areas such as Chiang Rai province (Northern region), Chachoengsao, Chantaburi, Rayong and Kanchanaburi provinces (Central Plains) showed the highest green WF proportion. These provinces have intensive rainfall so less blue water is required in cassava cultivation.

Table 3. Average water consumption in cassava cultivation by province.

Provinces	Cassava crop [3-4]			Crop water use (m^3/ha)			Water footprint (m^3/ton)			
	Harvested area (ha)	Production (ton/year)	Yield (ton/ha)	Green	Blue	Evaporation	Green	Blue	Grey	Total
Northern Region										
Chiang Rai	3,117	61,087	19.60	4,255	3,887	8,142	217	198	51	466
Phayao	831	16,921	20.36	3,919	4,356	8,275	192	214	98	504
Lampang	1,116	22,158	19.85	3,542	4,125	7,667	178	208	101	487
Tak	7,884	181,950	23.08	3,572	5,503	9,075	155	238	43	436
Kamphaeng Phet	86,159	1,887,862	21.91	3,610	4,546	8,156	165	207	91	463
Sukhothai	3,506	67,210	19.17	3,646	4,967	8,613	190	259	104	553
Phrae	720	14,187	19.70	3,617	4,356	7,973	184	221	102	507
Uttaradit	3,207	65,763	20.51	3,543	4,242	7,785	173	207	98	478
Phitsanulok	27,833	594,975	21.38	3,946	5,426	9,372	185	254	94	533
Phichit	1,205	25,212	20.92	3,816	4,432	8,248	182	212	96	490
Nakhon Sawan	46,505	982,626	21.13	3,933	4,980	8,913	186	236	95	517
Uthai Thani	28,509	596,867	20.94	3,845	5,324	9,169	184	254	96	534
Phetchabun	16,339	357,905	21.90	3,811	4,419	8,230	174	202	46	422
Average	17,456	374,979	21.48	3,773	4,659	8,432	176	217	86	479

Table 3. (Continued).

Provinces	Cassava crop [3-4]			Crop water use (m ³ /ha)			Water footprint (m ³ /ton)			
	Harvested area (ha)	Production (ton/year)	Yield (ton/ha)	Green	Blue	Evaporation	Green	Blue	Grey	Total
Northeastern Region										
Loei	33,019	686,446	20.79	3,916	4,959	8,875	188	239	96	523
Nong Bua Lam Phu	6,923	140,150	20.24	4,133	4,041	8,174	204	200	99	503
Udon Thani	32,011	649,393	20.29	3,941	4,999	8,940	194	246	99	539
Nong Khai	6,290	123,374	19.61	3,905	5,347	9,252	199	273	102	574
Sakon Nakhon	14,865	279,028	18.77	4,157	4,589	8,746	221	244	107	572
Nakhon Phanom	4,895	94,040	19.21	3,353	5,407	8,760	175	281	104	560
Mukdahan	17,703	345,325	19.51	3,293	5,852	9,145	169	300	103	572
Yasothon	10,166	209,596	20.62	3,824	6,475	10,299	185	314	97	596
Amnat Charoen	6,354	130,439	20.53	2,844	6,554	9,398	139	319	97	555
Ubon Ratchathani	30,788	612,733	19.90	3,876	6,992	10,868	195	351	100	646
Si Sa Ket	14,047	292,339	20.81	3,767	5,414	9,181	181	260	96	537
Surin	9,553	194,061	20.31	3,860	5,691	9,551	190	280	98	568
Buri Ram	32,889	703,862	21.40	3,681	5,025	8,706	172	235	93	500
Maha Sarakham	16,394	322,161	19.65	3,293	5,852	9,145	204	282	102	588
Roi Et	10,986	222,135	20.22	3,682	6,235	9,917	182	308	99	589
Kalasin	41,414	873,170	21.08	3,515	7,238	10,753	167	343	95	605
Khon Kaen	34,577	673,875	19.49	4,119	6,429	10,548	211	330	103	644
Chaiyaphum	60,662	1,217,131	20.06	3,969	5,349	9,318	198	267	100	565
Nakhon Ratchasima	287,606	5,807,164	20.19	3,911	5,303	9,214	194	263	99	556
Average	35,323	714,549	20.23	3,739	5,671	9,410	185	280	99	564
Central Plains										
Saraburi	4,955	99,347	20.05	3,875	5,793	9,668	193	289	50	532
Lop Buri	26,650	542,882	20.37	3,650	5,759	9,409	179	283	49	511
Chai Nat	11,466	216,375	18.87	2,733	5,958	8,691	145	316	106	567
Suphan Buri	5,852	113,795	19.45	3,356	5,147	8,503	173	265	103	541
Prachin Buri	26,008	547,169	21.04	3,796	5,728	9,524	180	272	48	500
Chachoengsao	45,932	986,861	21.49	4,402	4,299	8,701	205	200	47	452
Sa Kaeo	59,892	1,218,292	20.34	4,130	4,596	8,726	203	226	98	527
Chanthaburi	38,847	806,986	20.77	4,808	4,115	8,923	231	198	48	477
Rayong	22,461	484,532	21.57	4,842	4,137	8,979	224	192	93	509
Chon Buri	46,252	1,066,571	23.06	4,199	5,171	9,370	182	224	87	493
Kanchanaburi	55,645	1,114,306	20.03	4,248	3,972	8,220	212	198	100	510
Ratchaburi	13,107	262,091	20.00	4,653	4,740	9,393	233	237	100	570
Phetchaburi	401	8,386	20.91	4,331	6,047	10,378	207	289	96	592
Average	27,498	574,430	20.89	4,079	5,036	9,115	195	241	79	515
Average of Thailand	27,901	575,972	20.64	3,863	5,188	9,051	187	251	90	528




Figure 2. Total water footprint of cassava cultivation by province in Thailand.

The WF_s of cassava cultivation of this study was compared with other studies, as shown in Table 4. The range of the total WF of cassava cultivation in Thailand was 399-599 m³/ton. The results of this study were found to be similar to those of Kongboon and Sampattagul [22]. The blue component was higher than the green and

grey components. Tiewtoy *et al.* [23] studied the WF in some provinces such as Chachoengsao, Chantaburi, Rayong and Chon Buri. Their results showed that the green component was higher compared to the blue component, which is similar to the result in the same area of this study. This might be because of the fact that

Table 4. Previous studies of the water footprint for cassava cultivation.

References	Study area	Water footprint of cassava (m ³ /ton)			
		Green	Blue	Grey	Total
Thailand					
This study	Thailand	187	251	90	528
[22]	The Northern (13 provinces)	192	232	85	509
[23]	The Eastern (6 provinces)	342	40	66	448
[24]	Thailand	332	67	-	399
[25]	Nakhon Ratchasima province	413	42	-	455
[26]	Thailand	415	184	-	599
Other Countries					
[27]	Nigeria	476	516	-	992
[28]	Global average	550	0	13	563

the studies were conducted under the same environmental conditions and during the same time period. These areas all experience intensive rainfall which contributes to the higher green component. Nevertheless, studies of Gheewala *et al.* [24] and Gerbens-Leenes *et al.* [25] showed that the total WF was quite low because the grey component was excluded in their studies. In addition, they found the green component was higher than the blue, which might be due to the difference in crop season. While, Pongpinyopap and Mungcharoen [26] found that the total WF (without grey) was higher than total WF from this study since they used different evaporation data using the pan evaporation method. However, the total WF of cassava cultivation in Nigeria [27] was almost 2 times higher than that of Thailand because the yield in Nigeria was lower than that in Thailand by almost 2 times. In another study, Mekonnen and Hoekstra [28] revealed the global average total WF to be 563 m³/ton.

3.2 Water Footprint of Cassava Starch Production

To calculate the WF of cassava starch production, water consumption and wastewater generation accumulated throughout the product chain were both taken into consideration as factors. The water mass flow balance per one

ton of product obtained from three cassava starch factories were used to calculate the WF of the product. In addition, the secondary data was selected from four previous studies from Thailand. Thus, the result of the average WFs of product in Thailand were calculated based on both primary and secondary data. The average water mass flow balance per one ton of cassava starch and WF calculations are mentioned in Figure 3.

It can be seen in Figure 3 that the highest volume consumed at the extraction process (7.00 m³ or 40% of total water consumption in the production process) followed by roots rinsing, separating and chopping/grinding, respectively. In order to estimate the WF of cassava starch, the product fraction (f_p) of 0.24 (ton/ton) and the value fraction (f_v) of 0.87 (Bath/Baht) were used. The estimated total WF of cassava starch production of Thailand is equal to 1,945 m³/ton, consisted of 678, 925 and 342 m³/ton of green, blue and grey component, respectively. However, Mekonnen and Hoekstra [28] revealed that the global total WF of cassava starch was equal to 2,254 m³/ton, which was similar to Thailand WF.

3.3 Virtual Water Flow of Cassava Starch Trade From Thailand

A large amount of cassava root is produced




Figure 3. Average water mass flow balance and water footprint per one ton of cassava starch.

nationally. Fifty-five percent of the total amount of cassava root is further processed to starch. More than 65% of the cassava starch was exported to other countries worldwide [5]. The OAE [29] reported that during the years 2008-2013, the five largest countries that imported cassava starch were China (31%), Indonesia (18%), Taiwan (14%), Malaysia (10%) and Japan (7%), respectively. Besides, there were some countries that exported their cassava starch to Thailand, i.e. Lao PDR, Myanmar and Cambodia, but the quantity of these

cassava starch imports to Thailand was very low compared to Thailand's export. Data on the net VWF of cassava starch exported from Thailand together with the top 20 importer countries are shown in Table 5.

A large volume of cassava starch exported from Thailand is also reflected by the enormous VWF. To assess the VWF, the WF of product and the export quantities were considered. The results show that the net total VWF related to the trade of cassava starch export from Thailand

Table 5. The net virtual water flow of cassava starch of export from Thailand.

Countries	Thailand's net export (ton/year) [29]	Net virtual water flow of export (Mm ³ /year)			
		Green	Blue	Grey	Total
China	589,596	399.75	545.38	199.28	1,144.41
Indonesia	339,130	229.93	313.70	114.63	658.26
Taiwan	266,108	180.42	246.15	89.94	516.51
Malaysia	194,744	132.04	180.14	65.82	378.00
Japan	128,779	87.31	119.12	43.53	249.96
Philippines	54,384	36.87	50.31	18.38	105.56
Singapore	48,501	32.88	44.86	16.59	94.33
USA	43,482	29.48	40.22	14.87	84.57
Bangladesh	30,275	20.53	28.00	10.35	58.88
South Korea	28,577	19.38	26.43	9.77	55.58
Hong Kong	24,377	16.53	22.55	8.34	47.42
Australia	16,544	11.22	15.30	5.66	32.18
South Africa	16,171	10.96	14.96	5.53	31.45
Saudi Arabia	12,513	8.48	11.57	4.28	24.33
Netherlands	11,636	7.89	10.76	3.98	22.63
India	7,407	5.02	6.85	2.53	14.40
New Zealand	6,384	4.33	5.91	2.18	12.42
Russia	5,907	4.00	5.46	2.02	11.48
Vietnam	5,567	3.77	5.15	1.90	10.82
UAE	5,112	3.47	4.73	1.75	9.95
Others	61,337	41.59	56.74	20.98	119.31
Total	1,896,531	1,285.85	1,754.29	642.31	3,682.45

to other countries was 3,682.67 Mm³/year, consisting of green (1,285.85 Mm³/year), blue (1,754.29 Mm³/year) and grey (642.31 Mm³/year), respectively. China as the largest importer, gained 1,144.41 Mm³/year of VWF. Indonesia, Taiwan, Malaysia and Japan gained 658.26, 516.51, 378.00 and 249.96 Mm³/year, respectively. The VWF of cassava starch from Thailand not only flows to other countries in Asia but also to some countries outside of Asia (Figure 4), for example, the USA (84.57 Mm³/year), Netherlands (22.63 Mm³/year), Russia (11.48 Mm³/year), Australia (32.18 Mm³/year) and South Africa (31.45 Mm³/year). However,

there was VWF of cassava starch imported to Thailand. Lao PDR was found to be the largest import source to Thailand that contributed 0.65 Mm³/year, followed by Myanmar (0.33 Mm³/year) and Cambodia (0.32 Mm³/year). The fact that Thailand contributed up to 90% of the cassava starch exported worldwide [2] reveals that it has drained a large volume of water in the form of VWF of cassava starch trade annually. Although the original source of cassava crop is mostly produced in Central and Southeast Asia, many countries do not produce enough and consume what they produce themselves. The net VWF of




Figure 4. Net virtual water flow of cassava starch export from Thailand.

cassava starch trade from Thailand can be used to highlight how much water (especially from surface water resources) is used to produce cassava starch for exportation. The water use for agricultural practices throughout the manufacturing process implies the amount of freshwater flowed out of Thailand to another country.

4. CONCLUSIONS

By assessing the water footprint of cassava cultivation, starch production and worldwide trade, we can conclude that a large volume of water is used in cassava cultivation and processing. The water is used in cassava cultivation in the form of irrigated water, rainwater and water that assimilates pollution. For starch production, water is used in root rinsing, chopping/grinding, extracting and separating. In the trade activity, Thailand has lost a large volume of water in the form of VWF to other countries. Concerning WF of agricultural

activity, a high volume of water is used to irrigate the cassava fields. This “blue” component of WF could be reduced by fixing a more appropriate planting date and crop area which is related to the soil condition and climate. Generally, crops with a high production yield (put unit) have smaller water use (put unit). Good agricultural management should be practiced in order to reduce the WF in this section. For example, soil nourishment by adding suitable nutrients, the selection of good size quality of cassava cutting stem, the application of the appropriate pest control methods [30], planting of short-lived ground cover crops such as legumes in order to decrease water evaporation and increasing soil minerals [31]. Moreover, the WF of starch production can be reduced by improving technology or making changes to the operation process. Water-saving policies should be enforced and complied with in the starch production process, especially in relation to the

step that utilizes the highest volume of water. The cassava starch trade information was used to calculate the VWF. The large amount of cassava exported from Thailand implies that the country loses an enormous amount of water annually. The loss of VWF has an impact on the local environment. Thailand, as an agricultural country, needs to balance the flow of water as this is one of the most important national resources. On the other hand, countries that import cassava starch have gained VWF. The Import of starch instead of cultivation and processing in their own respective countries would help conserve water resources in water-scarce countries.

The findings from this research could be used as a part of WF database and also help in the planning of water management in Thailand. In particular, the finding can be used to create a broad picture of water use in relation to 3 important aspects of cassava related activities; planting, manufacturing and exporting, which could be of benefit for the Thai government to set policies on these topics. For example, the policies on planting duration, the technologies that help reduce the water consumption in the manufacturing process, and the amount of cassava exported internationally.

REFERENCES

- [1] The International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), How to start a cassava production or processing business; Available at: http://www.cassavabiz.org/agroenterprise/1_enterprise.htm.
- [2] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations-Statistical Databases (FAOSTAT), Crop production; Available at: <http://faostat.fao.org/beta/en/#data/QC>.
- [3] Office of Agricultural Economics (OAE), *Agricultural Statistics of Thailand 2009*, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, Bangkok, Thailand, 2010.
- [4] Office of Agricultural Economics (OAE), *Agricultural Statistics of Thailand 2012*, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, Bangkok, Thailand, 2013.
- [5] Poramacom N., Ungsuratana A., Ungsuratana P. and Supavititpattana P., *Am. Int. J. Contemp. Res.*, 2013; **3(5)**: 43-51.
- [6] Mekonnen M.M. and Hoekstra A.Y., *The Green, Blue and Grey Water Footprint of Crops and Derived Crop Products, Value of Water Research Report Series No.47*, UNESCO-IHE, Delft, the Netherlands, 2010.
- [7] Hoekstra A.Y. and Hung P.Q., *Virtual Water Trade: A Quantification of Virtual Water Flows between Nations in Relation to International Crop Trade, Value of Water Research Report Series No.11*, UNESCO-IHE, Delft, the Netherlands, 2002.
- [8] Chapagain A.K. and Hoekstra A.Y., *Water Footprints of Nations, Value of Water Research Report Series No.16*, UNESCO-IHE, Delft, the Netherlands, 2004.
- [9] Allan J.A., *Groundwater*, 1998; **36(4)**: 545-546. DOI 10.1111/j.1745-6584.1998.tb02825.x.
- [10] Hofwegen P.V., Virtual water trade-conscious choices, world water council; Available at: <http://www.portalces.org/sites/default/files/migrated/docs/691.pdf>.
- [11] Hoekstra A.Y., Chapagain A.K., Aldaya M.M. and Mekonnen M.M., *The Water Footprint Assessment Manual: Setting the Global Standard*, Earthscan, London, UK, 2011.
- [12] Jeswani H.K. and Azapagic A., *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2011; **19**: 1288-1299. DOI 10.1016/j.jclepro.2011.04.003.
- [13] Chavalparit O. and Ongwandee M., *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2009; **17**: 105-110. DOI 10.1016/j.jclepro.2008.03.001.
- [14] Khongsiri S., *Life Cycle Assessment of Cassava Root and Cassava Starch*, MS Thesis, Kasetsart

- University, Bangkok, Thailand, 2009.
- [15] Jakrawatana N., Pingmuangleka P. and Gheewala H.S., *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2016; **134**: 663-641. DOI 10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.06.139.
- [16] Usubharatana P. and Phunggrassami H., *Procedia CIRP.*, 2015; **29**: 462-467. DOI 10.1016/j.procir.2015.02.031.
- [17] Department of Agriculture (DOA), *Recommendation of Fertilizer Application Rate to Crops*, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, Bangkok, Thailand, 2005.
- [18] Thai Meteorological Department (TMD), *Climatic Parameters Averages of Month by Province, Data Based on 2008-2013*, Ministry of Information and Communication Technology, Bangkok, Thailand, 2014.
- [19] Allen R.G., Pereira L.S., Raes D. and Smith M., *Crop Evapotranspiration: Guidelines for Computing Crop Water Requirements*, FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No.56, FAO, Rome, Italy, 1998.
- [20] Land Development Department (LDD), *Study on Available Water Capacity of Various Soil Series for Crop Water Application in Thailand*, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, Bangkok, 2013.
- [21] Pollution Control Department (PCD), *Water quality standard on surface water resources*; Available at: http://www.pcd.go.th/info_serv/reg_std_water05.html.
- [22] Kongboon R. and Sampattagul S., *Procedia Soc. Behav. Sci.*, 2012; **40**: 451-460. DOI 10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.03.215.
- [23] Tiewtoy S., Somjai T. and Suwan C., *Int. J. Environ. Rural Dev.*, 2013; **4(2)**:138-142.
- [24] Gheewala S.H., Silalertruksa T., Nilsalab P., Mungkung R., Perret S.R. and Chaiyawannakarn N., *Water*, 2014; **6**: 1698-1718. DOI 10.3390/w6061698.
- [25] Gerbens-Leenes P.W., Hoekstra A.Y. and van der Meer Th. H., *The Water Footprint of Bio-energy: Global Water Use for Bio-ethanol, Biodiesel, Heat and Electricity, Value of Water Research Report Series No.34*, UNESCO-IHE, Delft, the Netherlands, 2008.
- [26] Pongpinyopap S. and Mungcharoen T., *Kasetsart Eng. J.*, 2011; **75**: 41-52.
- [27] Adeoti O., *Biomass Bioenergy*, 2010; **34**: 985-992. DOI 10.1016/j.biombioe.2010.02.006.
- [28] Mekonnen M.M. and Hoekstra A.Y., *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sc.*, 2011; **15**: 1577-1600. DOI 10.5194/hess-15-1577-2011.
- [29] Office of Agricultural Economics (OAE), *Export and Import Products of Thailand by Countries: Excel Database 2008-2013*, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, Bangkok, Thailand, 2014.
- [30] Department of Agriculture (DOA), *Good agricultural Practices for Cassava*; Available at: https://www.acfs.go.th/standard/download/eng/GAP_cassava.pdf.
- [31] Land Development Department (LDD), *Soil development technology*; Available at: http://www.ddd.go.th/menu_Dataonline/G2/G2_01.pdf.