

Distribution of Gas Phase Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) in Selected Indoor and Outdoor Air Samples of Malaysia: a Case Study in Serdang, Selangor and Bachang, Malacca

Haris Hafizal Abd Hamid^{a, b}, Lim Wan Wan^c, Ignatius Phang Ren Kai^c, Mohd Talib Latif^{a, b} and Narayanan Kannan^d

 ^a Institute of Environments and Development (LESTARI), Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM), 43600 UKM Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia
^b School of Environmental and Natural Resource Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM) 43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia
^c Department of Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Environmental Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia
^d Taylor's Graduate School, Taylor's University (Lakeside Campus), No. 1, Jalan Taylor's, 47500, Subang Jaya, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

Abstract

Distribution of 10 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in the gas phase of air from selected indoor and outdoor areas of Selangor and Malacca, Malaysia has been investigated. A locally designed Semi Permeable Membrane Device (SPMD) was applied for passive air sampling for 37 days at selected locations. Cleanup was carried out with Gas Purge - Micro Syringe Extraction (GP-MSE) and the final analysis was using Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS). In this study, 6 indoor and 12 outdoor locations were selected for air sampling. A total of 10 compounds of PAHs (Σ_{10} PAHs) were determined in the range of 0.218 ng/m³ - 1.692 ng/m³ and 0.378 ng/m³ - 1.492 ng/m³ in outdoor and indoor samples respectively. In the outdoor samples, locations such as near a petrol station and heavy traffic showed the maximum levels of Σ_{10} PAHs, while rooftop samples showed the lowest Σ_{10} PAHs. The distribution of gas phase Σ_{10} PAHs was influenced by vehicular emission. Low molecular weight (LMW) compounds (2-3 rings) were dominant in all samples (>70%) indicating that SPMD has successfully sampled the gas phase of the air.

Keywords: polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs); indoor /outdoor air; semi permeable membrane device (SPMD)

1. Introduction

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are well known as hazardous air pollutants. Some of the compounds such as benzo(a)anthracene, benzo(a) pyrene and dibenzo(ah)anthracene were classified as carcinogenic to humans. PAHs consist of two and more fused aromatic benzene rings and can be classified into two categories; low molecular weight (LMW) (2-3 rings) and high molecular weight (HMW) (\geq 4 rings). LMW PAHs often associated with gas phase and HMW PAHs occur mainly in the particulate phase. Both gas and particulate phases are important in finding out the point sources and their possible toxic impacts. Park et al. (2001) suggested that although the lighter PAH compounds are considered to be less toxic, they are able to react with other pollutants (such as ozone, nitrogen oxides, and sulfur dioxide) to form diones, nitro- and dinitro-PAHs, and sulfuric acids, which may have more toxic significance.

The presence of PAHs in the environment is primarily due to emissions from incomplete combustion of carbon containing materials from natural, industrial, commercial, vehicular and residential sources (Kim et al., 2012). Studies on particulate PAHs in ambient air of Malaysia had been done by many researchers (Omar et al., 2006; Bahry et al., 2009; Jamhari et al., 2014). They found that PAHs distribution in ambient air in Malaysia was mainly contributed by vehicular and traffic emissions. Unfortunately, no information is available on gas phase PAHs in Malaysia. However, He and Balasubramanian (2010) reported the concentration of gaseous PAHs (5.3 ng/m³ to 277.2 ng/m³) in Singapore. Meanwhile, Wu et al. (2012) reported the ambient level of gaseous PAHs in Taiwan as $628.8 \pm 545.1 \text{ ng/m}^3$ in summer and 2189 ± 1194 ng/m^3 in winter.

The objective of this study is to investigate the level of gas phase PAHs in indoor and outdoor air located at Serdang, Selangor and Bachang, Malacca. We have simplified the procedure with a Semi Permeable Membrane Device (SPMD) for passive air sampling followed by Gas Purge Micro Syringe Extraction (GP-MSE) cleanup and GC-MS determination.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 SPMD design

Principles of SPMD as explained by Esteve-Turrillas *et al.* (2007) have been applied in developing a simple sampling device with locally available materials. Briefly, the sampler consisted of a 2 ml vial smeared inside with 10 μ l of Triolein (99%) and enclosed in a non-woven fabric bag (5-15 μ m pore size) which acted as a semi permeable membrane filter. The SMPD sampler housed in a rain proof casing was hung at study locations where only gas phase volatile and semi volatile compounds were trapped.

2.2 Sampling

Six indoor (ID) and twelve outdoor (OD) locations were sampled for this study. SPMD passive air samplers were hung at selected locations as in Table 1. Indoor air samples included shops (ID1-ID4) and cars (ID5 and ID6). Meanwhile, outdoor samples included places with heavy traffic, petrol station (OD4 and OD12), industrial/waste dump site areas (OD5-OD8), university area (OD11), parks and lake-side (OD1-OD3). Indoor sampling was done at Serdang, Selangor except for an Old Car (ID 6) at Bachang, Malacca. OD1-OD5 represented outdoor samples from Serdang, Selangor while OD6-OD12 were samples from outdoor areas in Bachang, Melacca. Air samples OD6-OD9 were collected near electronics waste dump sites or factories manufacturing electronic equipments. The interest was to identify any gaseous emission of PAHs from those sites. All samples were exposed for 37 days (27th August 2014 - 3rd August 2014) for sampling in Selangor and (28th August 2014 - 4th August 2014) for sampling in Malacca. The exposure period was set based on PCBs equilibration in Triolein (Ockenden et al., 2001) during the sampling period, haze occurrence was reported by Department of Environment (DOE), Malaysia. It occurred from 17 September to 12 October in the year 2014, in the west coast and northern parts of Peninsular Malaysia. However, our sampling locations were not much influenced by the haze according to the reported

API (Air Pollution Index) of (30-40) and (20-30) in Bachang and Serdang respectively. This is considered 'moderate' by DOE.

2.3 Extraction

Triolein in each sample vials were dissolved and rinsed with minimum volume of n-hexane (GR grade, Merck, Germany). The extracts were concentrated down to 40 μ l using nitrogen blow and then further extracted with GP-MSE[®] (ME-101 Multifunctional Micro-extraction, China). GP-MSE[®] sample pretreatment technology was developed by Yang *et al.* (2011) and the setup parameter for PAHs extraction was selected based on the evaluation of He *et al.* (2014). Approximately 100 μ l of sample extracts were collected and transferred to GC vials for the analysis.

2.4 Analysis

Samples were identified and quantified using gas chromatography (GC) - Agilent 6890N, USA equipped with Mass Selective Detector (MSD) - Agilent 5975C. HP-5MS capillary column with 30 m length, 0.25 mm i.d, 0.25 μ m film thickness (Agilent J&W, USA) was used for the separation. The GC temperature was programmed as follows: initial 40°C, 30°C/min to 150°C, 4°C/min to 310°C, hold 5 min. The mass spectrometry was operated under electron ionization (EI) mode. Helium gas was used as a carrier at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min.

External calibration was performed with the PAH-MIX 18, Dr.Ehrenstorfer, GmbH, Germany. Only PAH compounds with quality value (Qvalue) more than 60% were measured for the QC screening. Thus, 10 compounds of PAHs namely Naphthalene (Nap), Acenaphtylene (Ace), Fluorene (Flu), Anthracene (Ant), Phenanthrene (Phe), Pyrene (Pyr), Benzo(a) Anthracene (BaA), Chrysene (Chy), Benzo(a)Pyrene (BaP) and Benzo(ghi)Perylene (BgP) were selected for further quantification with SIM mode. Linear calibration of 10, 30 and 50 µg/L for all compounds showed very good linearity (r^2). Calculation of individual and total PAHs (Σ_{10} PAHs) amount was in pg/m³ and ng/m³ respectively and based on equation suggested by Kannan and Petrick (2009).

3. Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the concentration of Σ_{10} PAHs among the indoor and outdoor samples. The concentration range for indoor air was 0.31 mg/m³ -

1.49 ng/m^3 and for outdoor air was 0.22 ng/m^3 - 1.97 ng/m³. Concentration of Σ_{10} PAHs in the gas phase was obviously lower than the reported values in particulate phase in air. For example, during non-haze situation in Malaysia the ambient air concentration (mean) was 3.69 ng/m³ (Okuda et al., 2002). In comparison, Kim et al. (2012) found the annual arithmetic average concentrations of gaseous and particulate Σ_{14} PAHs were 1.4 ng/m³ and 2.9 ng/m³, respectively in Gosan, Korea. Chang et al. (2006) reported that gaseous phase of PAHs in urban, suburban and rural areas in Taiwan still carries 50-90% of PAHs compared to particulate phase. Interestingly, a measurement of 16 PAHs in gaseous phase of air in Singapore ranged between 5.3 to 277.2 ng/m³ with a median value of 98.3 ng/m³ (He and Balasubramanian, 2010). The difference could be due to different sampling methodology, metrological factors and sampling locations. This could also be attributed to an increase in the number of on-road vehicles in highly industrialized and small city state like Singapore.

Based on the table 1, it was observed that indoor air concentration of Σ_{10} PAHs in a car workshop was the highest with a value of 1.49 ng/m^3 and a photocopy shop showed the lowest with 0.31 ng/m^3 . A radio repair shop showed the second highest value of 1.30 ng/m^3 . On the other hand, a motorcycle workshop revealed only 0.35 ng/m³. Indoor air samples of two cars were investigated as well. An old car showed a concentration of 0.49 ng/m³ slightly higher than a new car - 0.46 ng/m³. High concentration of PAHs in a car workshop was obviously from automobile repair activity and also the engine fuel combustion within the area. Diesel trucks were the major source of LMW PAHs, whereas light-duty gasoline vehicles were the dominant source of HMW PAHs (Srogi, 2007). As illustrated in Fig. 1, Fluorene (Flu) was the most abundant PAH in the car workshop pointing to the fact that fuel exhaust fumes could be a source in that area. Naphthalene (Nap) existed in all samples, especially at high concentration in the radio repair shop and in the automobile workshop. The occurrence of naphthalene, a LMW PAH, reflects the sampling efficiency of SPMD in capturing the gaseous phase of the air.

Sample	Description	Σ_{10} PAHs
		(ng/m^3)
Indoor		
ID 1	Car workshop	1.49
ID 2	Motorcycle workshop	0.35
ID 3	Radio repair shop	1.30
ID 4	Photocopy shop	0.31
ID 5	New car	0.46
ID 6	Old car	0.49
Outdoor		
OD 1	Herb Garden	1.03
OD 2	Forest	1.03
OD 3	Small Lake	0.92
OD 4	/Heavy Traffic	1.74
OD 5	Car scrap yard	0.48
OD 6	Electronic waste site A	0.40
OD 7	Electronic waste site B	0.56
OD 8	Electronic waste site C	0.75
OD 9	Electronic waste site D	0.44
OD 10	Industrial Area	0.54
OD 11	Rooftop	0.22
OD 12	Petrol station	1.97

Table 1. Concentration of Σ_{10} PAHs for indoor and outdoor sample



Figure 1. Distribution of individual PAHs in the indoor area

Among the outdoor samples, a location near petrol station (OD12) and a location nearby heavy traffic (OD4) showed the highest concentrations namely, 1.97 ng/m³ and 1.74 ng/m³ respectively. It can be concluded that vehicular emissions from the traffic are the main sources of gas phase PAHs in those areas. Studies by Okuda et al. (2002); Omar et al. (2006); Bahry et al. (2009) and Jamhari et al. (2014) also found out the contribution of motor vehicle emissions in higher concentration of PAHs in Malaysia. According to Chang et al. (2006), the major sources of PAHs in most Asian countries are traffic exhaust. The lowest Σ_{10} PAHs concentration of 0.22 ng/m^3 was found at the roof top (OD11) of the residential area in Bachang, Malacca indicating the site was less polluted with gas phase PAHs.

Fig. 2 shows the distribution of individual PAHs in outdoor areas. Generally, LMW compounds were dominant, with Acenaphtylene (Ace) as a significant compound in all samples except the roof top sample. Fluorene was rich at heavy traffic and petrol station samples reflecting vehicular emissions and petroleum-based fuels (Fang *et al.*, 2005).

Fig. 3 shows the percentage of LMW and HMW in all samples. The LMW PAHs were dominant in all air samples as expected and found to be more than 70% of Σ_{10} PAHs. In general, LMW PAHs are present in gaseous phase and those with four rings occur in both phases while those with five or more rings are found in particulate phase (Rao *et al.*, 2007).



Figure 2. Distribution of individual PAHs in outdoor area



Figure 3. Percentage of LMW Vs HMW

Even though the diagnostic ratios of LMW to HMW seem to show a petrogenic source, it is hard to conclude with only gas phase composition. Unfortunately, SPMD sampler captures only gaseous phase. Tobiszewski and Namieśnik (2012) suggested that total concentration of atmospheric PAHs (gas + particulate) should be used to calculate diagnostic ratio.

4. Conclusions

The concentration of gas phase Σ_{10} PAHs as determined by SPMD passive air sampler in indoor and outdoor samples was dominated by LMW PAHs. Outdoor samples with highest concentration of PAHs were from heavy traffic and near petrol station locations indicating vehicular emission as a possible source. Similarly, an indoor sample at a car workshop was found to have high gaseous PAHs indicating fuel combustion from motor vehicles as a source. Application of SMPD techniques for gaseous PAHs determination shows comparable results with other such passive sampling methods (e.g. Polyurethene Foam (PUF)). However, more studies are needed to verify various quantitative methods. In summary, these data clearly suggest that a new design of SPMD used in this study, along with the cleanup using GP-MSE[®] could be utilized as useful techniques in detecting gas phase PAHs.

Acknowledgements

We thank the generous gift of GP-MSE[®] by Professor Dr. Donghao Li of Key Laboratory of Nature Resources of Changhai Mountain and Functional Molecular (Yanbian University), Ministry of Education, Jilin, China.

References

- Bahry PS, Zakaria MP, Abdullah AMB, Abdullah DK, Sakari M, Chandru K, Shahbazi A. Forensic characterization of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and hopanes in aerosols from Peninsular Malaysia. Environmental Forensics 2009; 10(3): 240-52.
- Chang KF, Fang GC, Chen JC, Wu YS. Atmospheric polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in Asia: A review from 1999 to 2004. Environmental Pollution 2006; 142(3): 388-96.
- Esteve-Turrillas FA, Pastor A, Yusà V, de la Guardia M. Using semi-permeable membrane devices as passive samplers. Trends in Analytical Chemistry 2007; 26(7): 703-12.
- Fang GC, Wu YS, Chen JC, Fu PPC, Chang CN, Ho TT, Chen MH. Characteristic study of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons for fine and coarse particulates at Pastureland near industrial park sampling site of central Taiwan. Chemosphere 2005; 60(3): 427-33.
- He J, Balasubramanian R. Semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) in ambient air and rainwater in a tropical environment: concentrations and temporal and seasonal trends. Chemosphere 2010; 78(6): 742-51.
- He M, Xu Q, Yang C, Piao X, Kannan N, Li D. A rapid preconcentration method using modified GP-MSE for sensitive determination of trace semivolatile organic pollutants in the gas phase of ambient air. Bulletin of the Korean Chemical Society 2014; 35(10): 2995-3000.
- Jamhari AA, Sahani M, Latif MT, Chan KM, Tan HS, Khan MF, Tahir NM. Concentration and source identification of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in PM₁₀ of urban, industrial and semi-urban areas in Malaysia. Atmospheric Environment 2014; 86: 16-27.
- Kannan N, Petrick G. Semi permeable membrane device reveals indoor and outdoor contamination of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). Toxicology and Environmental Health Sciences 2009; 1(2): 91-96.

- Kim JY, Lee JY, Choi SD, Kim YP, Ghim YS. Gaseous and particulate polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons at the Gosan background site in East Asia. Atmospheric Environment 2012; 49: 311-19.
- Ockenden WA, Jaward FM, Jones KC. Atmospheric sampling of persistent organic pollutants: needs, applications and advances in passive air Sampling techniques. The Scientific World Journal 2001; 1: 557-75.
- Okuda T, Kumata H, Zakaria MP, Naraoka H, Ishiwatari R, Takada H. Source identification of Malaysian atmospheric polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons nearby forest fires using molecular and isotopic compositions. Atmospheric Environment 2002; 36(4): 611-18.
- Omar NYMJ, Mon TC, Rahman NA, Abas MRB. Distribution and health risk of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in atmospheric aerosol of Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Science of the Total Environment 2006; 369(1-3): 76-81.
- Park JS, Wade TL, Sweet S. Atmospheric distribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and deposition to Galveston Bay, Texas, USA. Atmospheric Environment 2001; 35(19): 3241-49.
- Rao BPS, Ansari MF, Pipalatkar P, Kumar A, Nema P, Devotta S. Monitoring and assessment of particulate matter and poly aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) around a petroleum refinery. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 2007; 79(2): 197-201.
- Srogi K. Monitoring of environmental exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: a review. Environmental Chemistry Letters 2007; 5(4): 169-95.
- Tobiszewski M, Namieśnik J. PAH diagnostic ratio for the identification of pollution emission sources. Environmental Pollution 2012; 162: 110-19.
- Wu CC, Lin TS, Yang TT, Hsu HW, Chang CL, Huang CH, Lin WY. Seasonal variation and health risk assessment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Miaoli City, Taiwan. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 2012; 88(3): 433-37.
- Yang C, Piao X, Qiu J, Wang X, Ren C, Li D. Gas purge microsyringe extraction for quantitative direct gas chromatographic-mass spectrometric analysis of volatile and semivolatile chemicals. Journal of Chromatography A 2011; 1218(12): 1549-55.

Received 31 January 2017 Accepted 10 May 2017

Correspondence to

Professor Narayanan Kannan Taylor's Graduate School, Taylor's University (Lakeside Campus), No. 1, Jalan Taylor's, 47500, Subang Jaya, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia Email: Kannan.Narayanan@taylors.edu.my