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#### Abstract

Brucellosis-induced abortion can result in significant economic loss to farm animals. Brucellosis can be transmitted to humans during slaughter of infected animals or via consumption of contaminated food products. Strain identification of Brucella isolates can reveal the route of transmission. Brucella strains were isolated from vaginal swabs of farm animal, cow milk and from human blood cultures. Multiplex PCR was used to identify Brucella species, and owing to high DNA homology among Brucella isolates, multiple-locus variable-number tandem repeat analysis (MLVA) based on the number of tandem repeats at 16 different genomic loci was used for strain identification. Multiplex PCR categorized the isolates into B. abortus ( $n=7$ ), B. melitensis $(n=37)$, B. suis $(n=3)$, and 5 of unknown Brucella spp. MLVA-16 clustering analysis differentiated the strains into various genotypes, with Brucella isolates from the same geographic region being closely related, and revealed that the Thai isolates were phylogenetically distinct from those in other countries, including within the Southeast Asian region. Thus, MLVA-16 typing has utility in epidemiological studies.
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## INTRODUCTION

Brucellosis is the most widespread zoonosis in the world and is of major public health and economic importance
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(Pappas et al, 2006). Brucella spp infect several important livestock species, such as cattle, goat, pig, sheep, and water buffalo (Di Giannatale et al, 2008). Main sign of infection in all animal species is abortion or premature expulsion of the fetus. In humans, the disease can induce undulant fever, malaise, and myalgia, and sometimes is associated with serious complications, such as encephalitis, meningitis, peripheral neuritis, spondylitis, suppurative arthritis, and vegetative endocarditis (Borriello et al, 2013). The disease can also
occur in a chronic form affecting various organs and tissues (Franco et al, 2007). The pathogen can be transmitted to humans through consumption of contaminated or untreated milk or dairy products, or by direct contact with infected animals (Borriello et al, 2013). As no effective human vaccine is currently available, prevention and control of brucellosis rely on its early diagnosis, adequate antibiotic treatment, proper animal husbandry and hygienic products.

Because of genetic homogeneity within the genus Brucella, subtyping isolates remains a challenge. In 1965, genus Brucella contained three classic species, namely, B. abortus (associated host: cattle), B. melitensis (sheep and goat), and B. suis (swine) (Olsen and Palmer, 2014). However, since the early 1960s, at least 7 new species have been identified in the genus Brucella: B. ovis, isolated from a ram in 1952 (Simmons and Hall, 1953), B. canis from placental and fetal tissues of aborted beagle pups (Carmichael and Kenney, 1968), B. neotomae from desert wood rat (Stoenner and Lackman, 1957), B. ceti predominantly from porpoise and dolphin (Foster et al, 2007), B. pinnipedialis predominantly from seal (Foster et al, 2007), B. microti from vole and wild red fox (Scholz et al, 2009), and B. inopinata from an infected human breast implant (Scholz et al, 2010). Further isolates have been recovered from wild Australian rodents (Tiller et al, 2010) and from a human patient with chronic destructive pneumonia (Tiller et al, 2010), which was suggested to be a novel lineage of $B$. inopinata. Most recently by Hofer et al (2012), two atypical Brucella strains were isolated from two foxes in eastern Austria and placed in the genus Brucella, but molecular analysis of rec $A$ and omp $2 a / b$ indicated that they are novel species, distinct from other Brucella
species, including B. microti (Al Dahouk et al, 2012). Other potential new strains, with characteristics typical of Brucella, have recently been recovered from two stillborn baboons (Papio sp) (Schlabritz-Loutsevitch et al, 2009) and from an African bullfrog (Pyxicephalus edulis) (Eisenberg et al, 2012).

Development of strain-typing methods is essential for investigating sources of epidemic events. Multiple-locus variablenumber tandem repeat analysis (MLVA), based on the variability in copy numbers of tandem repeat units in several loci, has discriminatory potential for genotyping and epidemiological trace-back assessment (Al Dahouk et al, 2007). This assay is capable of discriminating Brucella isolates originating from a restricted geographic region, confirming its potential utility as an epidemiological tool (Garcia-Yoldi et al, 2007; Kattar et al, 2008; Marianelli et al, 2008).

MLVA-16 assay, based on 16 loci containing tandem repeats, including eight moderately variable minisatellites and eight highly polymorphic microsatellites (Le Fleche et al, 2006; Al Dahouk et al, 2007), were used in this study to characterize the diversity of Brucella strains isolated from vaginal swabs of farm animals and from culture collections obtained from Thai infected patients.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Sample collection

Vaginal swabs and milk specimens were collected from cattle and goats on farms in central Thailand: Kanchanaburi, Nakhon Pathom, Nakhon Sawan, Prachuap Khiri Khan, Ratchaburi, and Saraburi. Vaginal swabs were placed in Cary Blair transport medium (Oxoid, Hampshire, UK ) and maintained at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during transportation.

Human isolates were obtained from culture collection of the Medical Bacteriology Group, Department of Medical Science, National Institute of Health (NIH), Bangkok, Thailand. All strains were derived from human blood cultures obtained from various Thai provinces, which had been sent to NIH, Thailand for bacterial identification.

Ethical approval to collect vaginal secretions from goats and cows was obtained from the Faculty of Tropical Medicine Animal Care and Use Committee, Mahidol University (FTM-ACUC; 008/2007). A material transfer agreement permitted analysis of Brucella strains isolated from patients, which had been sent for identification to the Department of Medical Sciences, NIH, Ministry of Public Health, Bangkok, Thailand. All the samples were anonymized, except for the province of each hospital from which the isolate was collected, which was retained.

## Brucella culturing

A portion of each vaginal swab was streaked onto Brucella agar [trypticase soy agar with antibiotic supplement (Oxoid, Hamshire, UK) and 5\% horse serum (Gibco, Gaitherberg, MD)]. The other vaginal swab portion and the milk samples were enriched in biphasic agar (Brucella agar slant overlaid with tryptic soy broth) for 5-7 days and bacterial film from the agar slant was restreaked on Brucella agar. All cultures were incubated for 5 days under $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Single colonies were preliminarily identified as Brucella spp by gram-negative coccobacilli appearance, which were positive in an oxidase test (Yagupsky, 1999). The putative Brucella strains were propagated on Brucella agar plates and a proportion were kept as glycerol stocks at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the remaining were placed in normal saline, sedimented
and stored at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until used.

## Multiplex PCR assay

Genomic DNA was extracted from bacterial cell pellet using a commercial DNA extraction kit (Omega Bio-Tek, Norcross, GA), according to the manufacturer's instructions, and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until analyzed. Stock Brucella strains (denoted B1-B4) in our culture collection were investigated for species. Eight primer pairs (Table 1) (López-Goñi et al, 2008) were in a PCR mixture of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ containing $12.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of JumpStart ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ REDTaq ${ }^{\circledR}$ ReadyMix ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (Sigma, St Louis, MO), $8 \mu$ l of primer mix ( $10 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ), and $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of DNA template. Thermocycling was conducted in a Mastercycler Nexus instrument (Effpendorf, Hamberg, Germany) as follows: $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes; followed by 34 cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 minute, $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 minute, and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 minute; then a final heating at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 minutes. Amplicons were separated by $1.5 \%$ agarose gel-electrophoresis and visualized by ethidium bromide staining. Brucella spp were assigned based on the PCR profiles (Table 2) according to LópezGoñi et al (2008).

## MLVA-16 genotyping assay

MLVA-16 genotyping was performed as described by Le Fleche et al (2006) and modified by Al Dahouk (2007). In brief, PCR amplification was performed as described above but with 16 primer pairs (Table 3) divided into three panels, namely, panel 1 for species identification and containing primers that bind eight minisatellite loci (Bruce06, Bruce08, Bruce11, Bruce12, Bruce42, Bruce43, Bruce45, and Bruce55), panel 2A containing primers that bind three microsatellite loci (Bruce18, Bruce19, and Bruce21), and those of panel 2B bind five microsatellite loci, Bruce04, Bruce07, Bruce09, Bruce16, and Bruce30), and panel 2B containing

Table 1
Primers used in multiplex PCR determination of Brucella sp.

| No. | Primer ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Putative function of target gene | DNA sequences ( $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ ) | Length (bp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | BMEI0998F | Glycosyltransferase (wboA) | ATCCTATTGCCCCGATAAGG | 1,682 |
|  | BMEI0097R |  | GCTTCGCATTTTCACTGTAGC |  |
| 2 | BMEI0535F | Immunodominant antigen (bp26) | GCGCATTCTTCGGTTATGAA | 450 |
|  | BMEI0536R |  | CGCAGGCGAAAACAGCTATAA |  |
| 3 | BMEII0834F | Outer membrane protein (omp31) | TTTACACAGGCAATCCAGCA | 1,071 |
|  | BMEII0843R |  | GCGTCCAGTTGTTGTTGATG |  |
| 4 | BMEI1436F | Polysaccharide deacetylase | ACGCAGACGACCTTCGGTAT | 794 |
|  | BMEI1435R |  | TTTATCCATCGCCCTGTCAC |  |
| 5 | BMEII0428F | D-Erytrulose1-phosphate dehydrogenase (eryC) | GCCGCTATTATGTGGACTGG | 587 |
|  | BMEII0428R |  | AATGACTTCACGGTCGTTCG |  |
| 6 | BR0953F | ABC transporter binding protein | GGAACACTACGCCACCTTGT | 272 |
|  | BR0953R |  | GATGGAGCAAACGCTGAAG |  |
| 7 | BMEI0752F | Ribosomal protein S12 (rpsL) | CAGGCAAAGCCTCAGAAGC | 218 |
|  | BMEI0752R |  | GATGTGGTAACGCACACCAA |  |
| 8 | BMEII0987F | Transcription regulator | CGCAGACAGTGACCATCAAA | 152 |
|  | BMEII0987R |  | GTATTCAGCCCCCGTTACCT |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Based on B. melitensis (BME) and B. suis (BR) genome sequences.

Table 2
Brucella species-specific genes used in the study.
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccccccc}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Specific } \\ \text { gene/locus }\end{array} & \text { wboA } & \text { omp31 } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Poly } \\ \text { sacharide }\end{array} & \text { eryC } & \text { Bp26 } & \begin{array}{c}\text { ABC } \\ \text { deacetylase } \\ \text { transporter } \\ \text { binding } \\ \text { protein }\end{array} & \text { rpsL } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Transcrip- } \\ \text { tional }\end{array} \\ \text { regulator } \\ \text { (CRP }\end{array}\right]$
${ }^{\text {a}}$ Human source: DMST7, DMST9; animal source: Kog milk, Yim-M, Yim-V, A18 swab. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Human source: DMST1, DMST2, DMST3, DMST4,DMST5, DMST6, DMST10, DMST11,DMST12, DMST13, DMST14, DMST15, DMST16, DMST17, DMST19; animal source: 29M, 29S, 34S, 43S, S24, S19, S16, S25, R-14, R-55, R-13, R-48, A19 swab, P1 swab, L5-milk, L5-swab, L6-milk, F18 swab, F25 milk, E37
 source: DMST20; animal source: R18.

${ }^{a}$ Variable number of tandem repeat: nomenclature_repeat unit size (size from genome of B. melitensis 16M strain). Chr, chromosome.

Table 4
Brucella spp and hosts found in Thailand.

|  | Host |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brucella sp | Human | Caprine | Bovine | Laboratory stock | Total |
| B. melitensis | 15 | $22^{\mathrm{a}}$ | - | - | 37 |
| B. abortus | 2 | $2^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 3 c | - | 7 |
| B. suis | 3 | - | - | - | 3 |
| Unidentified Brucella sp | 1 | - | - | 4 | 5 |
| Total | 21 | 24 | 3 | 4 | 52 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Four isolates from Saraburi, 4 from Nakhon Sawan, 4 from Ratchaburi, and 10 from Nakhon Pathom. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Strain R18 from Ratchaburi has profile similar to S19 vaccine strain and A18 swab, isolated from Nakhon Pathom, had typical B. abortus profile. 'One Kog milk strain was from Prachuap Khiri Khan and strains Yim-M and Yim-V were from Kanchanaburi.
primers that bind the most variable loci [thereby given a lower weight in a clustering analysis (Al Dahouk et al, 2007)]. Amplicons were separated by $3 \%$ agarose gel- electrophoresis as described above. Gel images were recorded in a Syngene gel documentation instrument (Frederick, MD) using GeneRuler ${ }^{\text {TM }} 100-b p$ Plus DNA ladder (Thermo Scientific) as standard molecular size markers. Sizes of amplicons from alleles at each locus were also confirmed using an Agilent 2100 highresolution capillary electrophoresis Bioanalyzer (Santa Clara, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The sizes of amplicons generated from each locus were converted into tandem repeat units according to procedures and database of Le Fleche et al (2006) and Al Dahouk et al (2007). The species of each Brucella isolate obtained from multiplex PCR was used to predict the tandem repeat units based on the size of amplicons (alleles) derived from each locus, because the prediction from repeat units is more reliable when the Brucella species is known.

## Genetic diversity index determination

In order to obtain genetic diversity index for all Brucella isolates in this study,
copy numbers of tandem repeats at each of the 16 loci were analyzed using V-DICE (VNTR DIversity and Confidence Extractor) program (http://www.hpa-bioinfor-matics.org.uk/cgi-bin/DICI/DICI.pl). A polymorphism index was determined, based on Simpson's diversity output data, which measures the variation among the numbers of repeats at each locus, ranging from 0.0 (no diversity) to 1.0 (complete diversity). The precision of the diversity index (DI) is expressed with the $95 \%$ confidence interval (CI).

## Data analysis

Tandem repeat units for the 16 loci of Brucella isolates predicted from their allele sizes were considered as datasets. BioNumerics version 6.6 (Applied Math, Austin, TX) was used to analyze the datasets and to generate a dendrogram. General information for each isolate was recorded, viz, sample name, type of infected host, province of the source farm. Archived tandem repeat profiles for Brucella strains from other countries (Her et al, 2009; Maquart et al, 2009; Lista et al, 2011) were added to our Thai dataset. These character data were subjected to a clustering analysis, based on unweighted pair group method
Table 5
Repeat unit data from MLVA-16 locus among Brucella isolates in Thailand and strains from MLVA database.

| Laboratory ID\# | Host | Source | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Multiplex } & \text { Position } \\ \text { PCR } & \text { in } \\ \text { species } & \text { MLVA } \\ \text { identification } & \text { cluster } \end{array}$ |  | Repeat unit data set of MLVA-16 locus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample <br> ID |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 11 | 12 | 42 | 43 | 45 | 55 | 18 | 19 | 21 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 16 | 30 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ DMST 1 D | human | Chon Buri | B. melitensis | A |  | 6 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 22 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 156* | 379 | 359 | 391 | 412 | 254 | 158 | 237 | 143 | 186 | 165 | 175 | 152 | 128 | 188 | 159 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ DMST 2 D | human | Samut Prakan | B. melitensis | A | 1 | 6 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 22 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 7 |
| DMST 22192 |  |  |  |  | 155 | 379 | 363 | 384 | 418 | 193 | 167 | 238 | 145 | 186 | 168 | 178 | 154 | 133 | 186 | 161 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ DMST 3 D | human | Chaiyaphum | B. melitensis | A | 1 | 5 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 22 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 7 |
| DMST 23233 |  |  |  |  | 142 | 363 | 361 | 400 | 421 | 195 | 172 | 237 | 150 | 189 | 168 | 182 | 154 | 137 | 198 | 156 |
| aDMST 4 | human | Chai Nat | B. melitensis | A | 1 | 6 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 23 | 9 | 16 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 146 | 381 | 361 | 406 | 398 | 195 | 174 | 237 | 152 | 190 | 170 | 264 | 162 | 141 | 182 | 170 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ DMST 5 D | human | Chai Nat | B. melitensis | A | 1 | 6 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 23 | 9 | 16 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| DMST 23564 |  |  |  |  | 146 | 385 | 361 | 409 | 398 | 195 | 174 | 237 | 154 | 190 | 170 | 268 | 164 | 143 | 182 | 154 |
| ${ }^{\text {a DMST } 6} 6$ DMST 23565 | human | Sa Kaeo | B. melitensis | A | 1 | 6 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 23 | 9 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 145 | 385 | 359 | 388 | 398 | 195 | 179 | 275 | 154 | 192 | 172 | 236 | 164 | 148 | 172 | 175 |
|  | human | Chaiyaphum | B. abortus | A | 3 | 6 | 4 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 23 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 408 | 386 | 352 | 364 | 311 | 195 | 179 | 273 | 173 | 193 | 170 | 178 | 186 | 145 | 160 | 156 |
| ${ }^{\text {a DMST }} 10$ DMST 24387 | human | Sa Kaeo | B. melitensis | A | 1 | 5 | 3 | 16 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 23 | 9 | 12 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 143 | 371 | 326 | 431 | 396 | 195 | 179 | 275 | 154 | 198 | 177 | 234 | 154 | 148 | 186 | 178 |
| aDMST 11 DMST 24734 | human | Uttaradit | B. melitensis | A | 1 |  |  | 16 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 23 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 143 | 365 | 323 | 431 | 398 | 195 | 179 | 275 | 154 | 198 | 179 | 192 | 158 | 151 | 207 | 156 |
| a ${ }^{\text {DMST } 12 ~ D M S T ~} 25484$ | human | Suphan Buri | B. melitensis | A | 1 | 6 | 3 | 16 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 25 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 144 | 385 | 315 | 434 | 398 | 195 | 179 | 236 | 150 | 201 | 172 | 229 | 158 | 149 | 200 | 178 |
| a DMST 13 DMST 26165 | human | Uttaradit | B. melitensis | A | 1 | 6 | 3 | 16 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 25 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 144 | 378 | 323 | 431 | 398 | 195 | 177 | 275 | 148 | 203 | 172 | 199 | 158 | 151 | 207 | 156 |
| aDMST 14 DMST 26346 | human | Chanthaburi | B. melitensis | A | 1 | 6 | 3 | 16 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 25 | 9 | 15 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 145 | 387 | 323 | 431 | 398 | 195 | 174 | 275 | 143 | 201 | 179 | 257 | 156 | 149 | 196 | 177 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ DMST 15 DMST 27015 | human | Kanchanaburi | B. melitensis | A | 1 | 6 | 3 | 16 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 25 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 144 | 385 | 320 | 437 | 398 | 229 | 169 | 275 | 139 | 200 | 175 | 193 | 154 | 146 | 204 | 178 |
| ${ }^{\text {a DMST }} 16$ DMST 27016 | human | Kanchanaburi | B. melitensis | A | 1 | 6 | 3 | 16 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 25 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 142 | 384 | 323 | 437 | 398 | 190 | 167 | 275 | 137 | 200 | 170 | 192 | 147 | 143 | 205 | 177 |
| adMST 17 DMST 27020 | human | Chanthaburi | B. melitensis | s A | 1 | 6 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 21 | 9 |  | 6 | 8 | 7 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 142 | 385 | 318 | 388 | 398 | 196 | 12 | 237 | 135 | 183 | 170 |  |  |  |  |  |


















| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ DMST 18 DMST 30490 | human | Chanthaburi | B. suis | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ DMST 19 DMST 30491 | human | Sa Kaeo | B .melitensis | A |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ DMST 20 DMST 30844 | human | Phetchabun | Brucella spp | A |
| 29M | caprine | Saraburi | B. melitensis | A |
| 29 S | caprine | Saraburi | B. melitensis | A |
| 34 S | caprine | Saraburi | B. melitensis | A |
| 43 S | caprine | Saraburi | B. melitensis | A |
| S24 | caprine | Nakhon Sawan | B. melitensis | A |
| S19 | caprine | Nakhon Sawan | B. melitensis | A |
| S16 | caprine | Nakhon Sawan | B.melitensis | A |
| S25 | caprine | Nakhon Sawan | B. melitensis | A |
| R-14 | caprine | Ratchaburi | B. melitensis | A |
| R-55 | caprine | Ratchaburi | B. melitensis | A |
| R-13 | caprine | Ratchaburi | B. melitensis | A |
| R-48 | caprine | Ratchaburi | B. melitensis | A |
| A19 swab | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. melitensis | A |
| P1 swab | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. melitensis | A |
| L5-Milk | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. melitensis | A |
| L5-Swab | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. melitensis | A |

Table 5 (Continued).

| Laboratory <br> ID\# | Sample <br> ID | Host | Source | Multiplex Position PCR in species MLVA identification cluster |  | Repeat unit data set of MLVA-16 locus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 42 | 43 | 45 | 55 | 18 | 19 | 21 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 16 | 30 |
| L6-Milk |  | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. melitensis | s A | 1 | 5 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 25 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 138 | 367 | 333 | 388 | 398 | 185 | 151 | 237 | 163 | 202 | 179 | 208 | 182 | 151 | 195 | 176 |
| F18 swab |  | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. melitensis | s A | 1 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 23 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 147 | 359 | 320 | 364 | 398 | 254 | 152 | 237 | 153 | 192 | 173 | 204 | 187 | 140 | 195 | 175 |
| F25 milk |  | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. melitensis | S A | 1 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 23 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 147 | 367 | 320 | 364 | 398 | 185 | 152 | 237 | 156 | 194 | 170 | 205 | 182 | 141 | 160 | 175 |
| E37 swab |  | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. melitensis | S A | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 25 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 147 | 365 | 325 | 396 | 398 | 193 | 152 | 237 | 165 | 200 | 176 | 206 | 183 | 151 | 175 | 178 |
| E74 swab |  | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. melitensis | S A | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 25 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 149 | 368 | 328 | 396 | 398 | 195 | 152 | 237 | 163 | 200 | 176 | 206 | 182 | 151 | 204 | 178 |
| P9 swab |  | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. melitensis | S A | 1 | 5 | 3 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 25 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 141 | 371 | 334 | 408 | 398 | 195 | 158 | 275 | 165 | 214 | 176 | 206 | 182 | 155 | 175 | 167 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{MM} 154$ | S596 | human | Paris, France | B. melitensis | S | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 9 |
| ${ }^{2}$ RR179 | AUB BRUP-S24 | human | Lebanon | B. melitensis | B | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 9 |
| ${ }^{2}$ W173 | AUB BRUP-S14 | human | Lebanon | B. melitensis | B | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 6 |
| ${ }^{2}$ W178 | AUB BRUP-S23 | human | Lebanon | B. melitensis | B | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 40 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 6 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} 233$ | BfR X | human | Bosnia | B. melitensis | B | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 8 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~W} 169$ | AUB BRUP-S11 | human | Lebanon | B. melitensis | S | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 6 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} 234$ | BfR VII | human | Syria | B. melitensis | B | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~V} 221$ | BfR 62 | human | Iraq | B. melitensis | B | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| ${ }^{2}$ W172 | AUB BRUP-S13 | human | Lebanon | B. melitensis | B | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 4 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~W} 171$ | AUB BRUP-S12 | human | Lebanon | B. melitensis | B | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~W} 175$ | AUB BRUP-S20 | human | Lebanon | B. melitensis | S B | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~W} 177$ | AUB BRUP-S22 | human | Lebanon | B. melitensis | S | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 4 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~W} 176$ | AUB BRUP-S21 | human | Lebanon | B. melitensis | S | 1 | 4 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 5 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{GG} 105$ | BfR 68 | human | Tyrol, Germany | B. melitensis | S | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 42 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 6 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{MM} 152$ | S594 | human | Paris, France | B. melitensis | S | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| ${ }^{2}$ MM153 | S595 | human | Poitiers, France | B. melitensis | S B | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 6 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{MM} 156$ | S219 | human | Tarbes, France | B. melitensis | B | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 6 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{MM} 158$ | S220 | human | Agen, France | B. melitensis | S | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} 232$ | BfR 20 | human | Pakistan | B. melitensis | S | 3 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 40 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 |


Table 5 (Continued).

| Laboratory ID\# | Sample <br> ID | Host | Source | Multiplex Position PCR in species MLVA identification cluster |  | Repeat unit data set of MLVA-16 locus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 42 | 43 | 45 | 55 | 18 | 19 | 21 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 16 | 30 |
| ${ }^{2}$ V215 | R5 | sheep | South Africa | B. melitensis | C | 3 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 36 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 6 |
| ${ }^{2}$ TT74 | R26 | (commer- <br> cial) | Spain | B. melitensis | C | 3 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 36 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 6 |
| ${ }^{2}$ T177 | BCCN\#92-87 | sheep | Spain | B. melitensis | C | 3 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 36 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 6 |
| MM126 |  | human | Callao, Peru | B. melitensis | C | 3 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 36 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{MM} 127$ | S22 | human | Callao, Peru | B. melitensis | C | 3 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 36 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{MM128}$ | S23 | human | Callao, Peru | B. melitensis | C | 3 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 36 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{MM129}$ | S211 | human | Callao, Peru | B. melitensis | C | 3 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 36 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{MM131}$ | S212 | human | Callao, Peru | B. melitensis | C | 3 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 36 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| MM132 |  | human | Callao, Peru | B. melitensis | C | 3 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 36 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| ${ }^{2}$ MM133 | S230 | human | Callao, Peru | B. melitensis | C | 3 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 36 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| ${ }^{2}$ MM134 | S72 | human | Callao, Peru | B. melitensis | C | 3 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 36 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| ${ }^{2}$ MM135 | S73 | human | Callao, Peru | B. melitensis | C | 3 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 36 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| ${ }^{2}$ MM136 | S243 | human | Callao, Peru | B. melitensis | C | 3 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 36 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| a DMST 21 DMST 31267 |  | human | Nakhon | B. suis | C | 3 | 4 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 21 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 8 |
|  |  |  | Phanom |  |  | 244 | 346 | 669 | 328 | 397 | 196 | 186 | 237 | 135 | 195 | 170 | 195 | 154 | 172 | 177 | 165 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ DMST 8 | DMST 23728 | human | Phetchabun | B. suis | C | 3 | 4 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 21 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 264 | 354 | 726 | 391 | 397 | 237 | 186 | 237 | 166 | 192 | 187 | 197 | 168 | 209 | 170 | 156 |
| ${ }^{2}$ MM151 | S202 | human | Essonne, France | B. melitensis | C | 3 | 4 | 3 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 36 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| ${ }^{2}$ RR184 | FH 2208 | red fox | Austria | B. microti | D | 4 | 5 | 12 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 11 | 5 |
| ${ }^{2}$ RR185 | FK 21908 | red fox | Austria | B. microti | D | 4 | 5 | 12 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 5 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{KK} 122$ | M621/99/2 | gray seal | Scotland | B. pinnipedialis | lis D | 3 | 5 | 6 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 44 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J} 207$ | 100 V | sheep | Brazil | B. ovis | D | 3 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 13 | 13 | 2 |
| ${ }^{2}$ MM148 | BCCN\#77-7 | sheep | Nice, France | B. ovis | D | 3 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 13 | 9 | 2 |
| ${ }^{2}$ MM149 |  | sheep | Rennes, France | B. ovis | D | 3 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 2 |
| ${ }^{2}$ LL41 |  | swine | Ribatejoe | B. suis | D | 2 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 19 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 15 | 2 | 6 |
| Used in Fig 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~S}-25$ |  | swine | Badajoz, Spain | B. suis |  | 2 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 38 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 19 | 2 | 6 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~S}-97$ |  | swine | Croatia | B. suis |  | 2 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 38 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 3 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{BCCN} \# 87-57$ |  | human | Canada | B. suis |  | 2 | 3 | 9 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 3 |
| ${ }^{2}$ REF 1330 |  | swine | USA | B. suis |  | 2 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 19 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 |


| $\downarrow$ | $\cdots$ | ＋ | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | ＋ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ＋ | N | N | 10 | $\cdots \cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | $\bigcirc$ | N | 10 | $\cdots$ | 10 | N | $\cdots$ | ＋ | の |  | $\cdots$ | $\wedge \infty$ |
| $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\sigma$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | 10 | $m$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | N | $\stackrel{m}{\square}$ |  | $m$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| の | 10 | の | $\bigcirc$ | ＋ | ＋ | $\downarrow$ | ＋ | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | $\not+$ | N | 010 |



| の | $\bigcirc$ | の | $\bigcirc$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | の | の | $\infty$ | の | の |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{3}{7}$ | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | F | ¢ | Y | $\cdots$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |  | ¢ | O |
| $\bigcirc$ | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | ＋ | $\bigcirc$ | ＋ | ＋ | $\bigcirc$ | N | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | 10 | 10 |
| N | N | N | N | $\cdots$ | N | N | $m$ | $\cdots$ | N | N | $\cdots$ | N | N |
| 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 10 | 10 | $\cdots$ | 10 | 10 |
| $\leftharpoondown$ | $\Gamma$ | $\Gamma$ | $\checkmark$ | $\cdots$ | N | N | $\cdots$ | N | $\leftharpoondown$ | $\leftharpoondown$ | $\cdots$ | $\leftharpoondown$ | $\square$ |
| $\bigcirc$ | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | ＋ | N | N | N | N | 10 | $\leftharpoondown$ | $\checkmark$ | N | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| $\pm$ | $\ni$ | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\square}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\underset{\bigoplus}{\ominus}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}$ | 三 |
| $\infty$ | の | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\forall$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\downarrow$ | N | N | N | $\downarrow$ | の | の |
| ＋ | $\cdots$ | ＋ | $\cdots$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | $\nsim$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| N | N | N | N | ＋ | $\leftharpoondown$ | － | $\downarrow$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\downarrow$ | N | N |


| ${ }^{2}$ REF Thomsen | swine | Denmark | B．suis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{2}$ REF 40 | reindeer | Former USSR | B．suis |
| ${ }^{4}$ ATCC 23445 （NC＿010169．1） |  |  | B．suis |
| ${ }^{4} 1330$ |  |  | B．suis |
| ${ }^{4}$ A13334 |  |  | B．abortus |
| ${ }^{4}$ ATCC 23457 （NC＿012441．1） |  |  | B．melitensis |
| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{HB} 07-12$ | sheep | Hebei，China | B．melitensis |
| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{KBa} 0143$ | cattle （dairy） | South Korea | B．abortus |
| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~S} 152$ | human | Callao，Peru | B．melitensis |
| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{BCCN} \# 77-72$ | sheep | Nice，France | B．ovis |
| ${ }^{4} 100 \mathrm{~V} 2$ | Sheep | Brazil | B．ovis |
| ${ }^{4}$ REF 23082 |  | USA | B．abortus |
| ${ }^{4}$ ATCC 23365 （NC＿010103．1） |  |  | B．canis |
| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{HSK}$ A52141（NC＿016778．1） |  |  | B．canis |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ National Institute of Health（NIH），Medical Bacteriology Group，Department of Medical Science in Thailand．${ }^{\text {b }}$ Department of Microbiology and Immunology，Faculty of Tropical Medicine，Mahidol University，Bangkok，Thailand．${ }^{1}$ Lista et al（2011）．${ }^{2}$ Maquart et al（2009）．${ }^{3}$ Her et al（2009）．${ }^{4}$ Database from http：／／minisatellites．u－psud．fr．＊Amplicon size（bp）． \＃Used in Fig 1.
using arithmetic averages（UPGMA） with a categorical similarity coefficient． Maximum parsimony was used to draw a clustering tree，with 200 bootstrap simulations，and the data were treated as categorical．

## RESULTS

## Species of Brucella isolates determined by multiplex PCR

From 2009 to 2011， 300 vaginal swabs and 10 milk samples were collected from farms in central Thailand．Bacterial colo－ nies grown on Brucella－selective agar and screened for gram－negative coccobacilli with positive oxidase test were propa－ gated on Brucella－selective agar．Extracted bacterial DNA was subjected to multiplex PCR for Brucella species identification （Table 2）．Twenty－two isolates from goats and 15 from humans were identified as $B$ ． melitensis（Table 4）．Among the seven iso－ lates identified as B．abortus，two were from caprines，two from humans（DMST 7 from Chaiyaphum and DMST 9 from Chantha－ buri），and three from cows（Kog milk，Yim－ V，and Yim－M）．Three B．suis isolates were from humans（DMST 18 from Chanthaburi， DMST 8 from Phetchabun and DMST 21 from Nakhon Phanom）．The multiplex PCR bands for DMST 20 （from Phetchabun）are similar to those of $B$ ．melitensis，but lacks the 1682 bp，and so was likely to be B．ovis （Table 4）．The four reference Brucella strains （B1－B4）and R－18 strain have multiplex PCR profiles（bands at 152，450，794，and 1682 bp similar to that of B．abortus S－19 strain（Garcia－Yoldi，2006）．
Assignment of tandem repeat units for each allele size

Brucella strain signature was identi－ fied using an MLVA－16 typing scheme． The sizes of amplicons derived from 16 loci in all the isolates were determined by

Table 6
Simpson's diversity index (DI) for all loci of Brucella spp determined in the study.

|  | DI |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Locus | Whole population <br> $(n=52)$ | B. melitensis <br> $(n=37)$ | B. abortus <br> $(n=11)$ | B. suis <br> $(n=3)$ |
| Panel 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Bruce06 | 0.498 | 0.153 | 0.298 | 0.444 |
| Bruce08 | 0.665 | 0.622 | 0.165 | 0 |
| Bruce11 | 0.669 | 0.546 | 0.314 | 0.444 |
| Bruce12 | 0.783 | 0.758 | 0.512 | 0.444 |
| Bruce42 | 0.423 | 0.149 | 0.165 | 0 |
| Bruce43 | 0.465 | 0.234 | 0.165 | 0.444 |
| Bruce45 | 0.570 | 0.505 | 0.298 | 0.444 |
| Bruce55 | 0.514 | 0.438 | 0.165 | 0.444 |
| Panel 2A |  |  |  |  |
| Bruce18 | 0.751 | 0.735 | 0.612 | 0 |
| Bruce19 | 0.811 | 0.730 | 0.512 | 0.444 |
| Bruce21 | 0.418 | 0.149 | 0.165 | 0.444 |
| Panel 2B |  |  |  |  |
| Bruce04 | 0.865 | 0.863 | 0.165 | 0.444 |
| Bruce07 | 0.743 | 0.673 | 0.430 | 0.444 |
| Bruce09 | 0.814 | 0.793 | 0.446 | 0.444 |
| Bruce16 | 0.826 | 0.856 | 0.165 | 0.444 |
| Bruce30 | 0.760 | 0.722 | 0.165 | 0.444 |

One isolate, DMST20, predicted to be B. ovis was not included for determination of diversity index.
agarose gel- electrophoresis and capillary electrophoresis. The range of amplicon size for each allele was used to determine the number of tandem repeat units, based on data of Al Dahou et al (2007) and Le Fleche et al (2006). In this study, the variable allele types were predominantly found in Bruce 11, and the numbers of repeat units were higher for the loci of panel 2 than for those of panel 1 (Table 5). MLVA profiles of all the Thai Brucella isolates and some selected Brucella species and strains from other countries also are shown in Table 5.

## Genetic diversity

Simpson's diversity index (DI) revealed that the variable allele types were
predominantly found in Bruce 04 ( $\mathrm{DI}=$ 0.865 ) (Table 6). The numbers of repeat units were higher for loci of panel 2 ( $\mathrm{DI}=$ $0.418-0.865$ ) than for those of panel 1 (DI $=0.423-0.783$ ).

## Clustering analysis based on MLVA-16 genotyping

The character dataset for the tandem repeat units at 16 loci in Brucella genome was subjected to a clustering analysis. A dendrogram was constructed using UPGMA protocol for 52 Thai isolates (Fig 1). Isolates from several countries (in Europe, Central and South America, and Southeast Asia), selected from the Brucella genotyping public database were included for comparison. The closely-

MLVA of Brucella Isolates from Thailand
(108 entries)
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}20 & 30 & 40 & 50 & 60 & 70 & 80 & 90 & 100\end{array}$


| Key | Host | Source | Specie |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L5-Milk | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. melitensis |
| L5-Swab | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. melitensis |
| L6-Milk | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. melitensis |
| F18 swab | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. melitensis |
| F25 milk | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. melitensis |
| E37 swab | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. melitensis |
| E74 swab | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. melitensis |
| P9 swab | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. melitensis |
| R-14 | caprine | Ratchaburi | B. melitensis |
| R-55 | caprine | Ratchaburi | B. melitensis |
| R-13 | caprine | Ratchaburi | B. melitensis |
| S19 | caprine | Nakhon Sawan | B. melitensis |
| S24 | caprine | Nakhon Sawan | B. melitensis |
| S16 | caprine | Nakhon Sawan | B. melitensis |
| S25 | caprine | Nakhon Sawan | B. melitensis |
| 29M | caprine | Saraburi | B. melitensis |
| 298 | caprine | Saraburi | B. melitensis |
| 34 S | caprine | Saraburi | B. melitensis |
| 43 S | caprine | Saraburi | B. melitensis |
| DMST(17) | human | Chanthaburi | B. melitensis |
| DMST(19) | human | Sa Kaeo | B. melitensis |
| DMST(20) | human | Phetchaburi | Brucella spp |
| DMST (1) | human | Chon Buri | B. melitensis |
| DMST(2) | human | Samut Prakan | B. melitensis |
| DMST(3) | human | Chaiyaphum | B. melitensis |
| DMST(4) | human | Chai Nat | B. melitensis |
| DMST(5) | human | Chai Nat | B. melitensis |
| DMST(6) | human | Sa Kaeo | B. melitensis |
| DMST(12) | human | Suphan Buri | B. melitensis |
| DMST(14) | human | Chanthaburi | B. melitensis |
| DMST(15) | human | Kanchanaburi | B. melitensis |
| DMST(16) | human | Kanchanaburi | B. melitensis |
| DMST(11) | human | Uttaradit | B. melitensis |
| DMST(13) | human | Uttaradit | B. melitensis |
| DMST(10) | human | Sa kaeo | B. melitensis |
| A19 swab | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. melitensis |
| R-48 | caprine | Ratchaburi | B. melitensis |
| P1 swab | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. abortus |
| DMST(7) | human | Chaiyaphum | B. melitensis |
| DMST (18) | human | Chanthaburi | B. suis |
| GG102 | cattle (Kore. | Gyeonggi, South K | B. abortus |
| GG103 | cattle (Kore. | Kangwon, South | . B. abortus |
| RR186 | cattle | USA | B. abortus |
| J206 | cattle | Brazil | B. abortus |
| MM159 | cattle | England | B. abortus |
| V214 | cattle | Africa | B. abortus |
| MM155 | human | Lyon, France | B. melitensis |
| MM154 | human | Paris, France | B. melitensis |
| RR179 | human | Lebanon | B. melitensis |
| W173 | human | Lebanon | B. melitensis |
| W178 | human | Lebanon | B. melitensis |
| H233 | human | Bosnia | B. melitensis |
| W169 | human | Lebanon | B. melitensis |



| W178 | human | Lebanon | B. melitensis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H233 | human | Bosnia | B. melitensis |
| W169 | human | Lebanon | B. melitensis |
| H234 | human | Syria | B. melitensis |
| V221 | human | Irak | B. melitensis |
| W172 | human | Lebanon | B. melitensis |
| W171 | human | Lebanon | B. melitensis |
| W175 | human | Lebanon | B. melitensis |
| W177 | human | Lebanon | B. melitensis |
| W176 | human | Lebanon | B. melitensis |
| GG105 | human | Urlaub, Tyrol, Ger | B. melitensis |
| MM152 | human | Paris, France | B. melitensis |
| MM153 | human | Poitiers, France | B. melitensis |
| MM156 | human | Tarbes, France | B. melitensis |
| MM158 | human | Agen, France | B. melitensis |
| H232 | human | Pakistan | B. melitensis |
| Yim-M | bovine | Kanchana Buri | B. abortus |
| Yim-V | bovine | Kanchana Buri | B. abortus |
| A18 swab | caprine | Nakhon Pathom | B. abortus |
| B1 | bacterial sto. | Bangkok | Brucella spp |
| B2 | bacterial sto. | Bangkok | Brucella spp |
| B3 | bacterial sto. | Bangkok | Brucella spp |
| B4 | bacterial sto. | Bangkok | Brucella spp |
| R-18 | caprine | Ratchaburi | B. abortus |
| TT76 | unknown | Spain | B. melitensis |
| Kogmilk | bovine | Prachuap Khiri Khan | B. abortus |
| DMST(9) | human | Chanthaburi | B. abortus |
| MM137 | cattle | Central, Kenya | B. melitensis |
| MM138 | cattle | Central, Kenya | B. melitensis |
| MM139 | cattle | Central, Kenya | B. melitensis |
| MM141 | cattle | Central, Kenya | B. melitensis |
| MM142 | cattle | Central, Kenya | B. melitensis |
| TT75 | (commercial) | Spain | B. melitensis |
| V215 | sheep | South Africa | B. melitensis |
| TT74 | (commercial) | Spain | B. melitensis |
| TT77 | sheep | Spain | B. melitensis |
| MM126 | human | Callao, Peru | B. melitensis |
| MM127 | human | Callao, Peru | B. melitensis |
| MM128 | human | Callao, Peru | B. melitensis |
| MM129 | human | Callao, Peru | B. melitensis |
| MM131 | human | Callao, Peru | B. melitensis |
| MM132 | human | Callao, Peru | B. melitensis |
| MM133 | human | Callao, Peru | B. melitensis |
| MM134 | human | Callao, Peru | B. melitensis |
| MM135 | human | Callao, Peru | B. melitensis |
| MM136 | human | Callao, Peru | B. melitensis |
| DMST(21) | human | Nakhon Phanom | B. suis |
| DMST(8) | human | Phetchabun | B. suis |
| MM151 | human | Essonne, France | B. melitensis |
| RR184 | red fox | Austria | B. microti |
| RR185 | red fox | Austria | B. microti |
| KK122 | gray seal | Scotland | B. pinnipedialis |
| J207 | sheep | Brazil | B. ovis |
| MM148 | sheep | Nice, France | B. ovis |
| MM149 | sheep | Rennes, France | B. ovis |
| LL41 | swine | Ribatejo e Oeste, P. | B. suis |

Fig 1-Dendogram of clustered MLVA-16 genotypes. The dendogram is constructed from MLVA-16 profiles of 21 Thai Brucella spp isolates from humans, 24 from caprine, 3 from bovine, 4 stock cultures of unknown origin, and more than 56 reference strains. The four columns next to the dendeogram indicated name of strain, host, source of sample and species assignment.



Fig 2-Dendogram of clustered MLVA-16 genotypes to verify Thai Brucella isolates, DMST8, 18, and 21 as B. suis, and DMST20 as B. ovis.
related genetic profile of Thai strains, belong to B. melitensis were included in cluster A. B. melitensis isolated from caprine were clustered together and were in the distinct cluster from human isolates (DMST series). B. melitensis strains from other countries were in cluster $B$, together with strains of $B$. abortus, although the clade of B. abortus was separated from B. melitensis. The Thai isolates were distinct from the foreign isolates and located in a distinct A cluster. A number of additional strains of $B$. melitensis were included in the cluster, while the Thai strains of B. abortus were also included in this cluster $C$. Other Brucella spp, ie, B. microti, B. pinnipedialis, $B$. suis, and B. ovis, were included in cluster D.

Multiplex PCR classified DMST 8, 18, and 21 as B. suis, and DMST 20 as prob-
ably B. ovis. In order to confirm this classification, a cluster analysis of MLVA-16 dataset of these isolates was performed in comparison to many strains of B. suis and B. ovis strains from the public database, and the strains that were closely related to our Thai strains were selected and included in the dataset (Fig 2). The Thai $B$. suis isolates, DMST8, 18 , 21 were clustered in a single clade next to $B$. suis isolates from swine in other countries. DMST 20 clustered with B. ovis strains isolated from sheep in Brazil and France.

## DISCUSSION

Basic microbiological protocol used for primary screening of Brucella isolates is based on bacterial morphology of gram-negative coccobacilli, but for species
identification, multiplex PCR profiles at eight loci are required (Lopez-Goni et al (2008)). However, size of an allele and number of its repeat units are specific to a particular species (Le Fleche et al (2006). For instance, a large database of MLVA profiles for various strains of B. melitensis has allowed more reliable identification of B. melitensis (Al Dahouk et al (2007).

Thai B. melitensis strains (cluster A) were phylogenetically different from those of other countries (cluster B). Among the Thai B. melitensis strains, as expected, those from the same geographic region were located close to one another in the same cluster. Strains derived from caprine were located together and separated from strains derived from humans, suggesting that strains from human and non-human hosts were generically different. There was no instance of zoonotic Brucella transmission from animals to humans. Brucella infections in humans ought to be due to person-to-person transmission.

When B. melitensis DMST 6 and Sar34S strains were subjected to a multilocus sequence typing (MLST) analysis, the strains matched B. melitensis ST8 strain (Chawjiraphan et al, 2016). In MLVA-based analysis, these two strains clustered with B. melitensis, and according to host species and regional source. MLVA correctly assigned both DMST6 and Sar34S to B. melitensis, consistent with MLST strategy, indicating the reliability of this MLVA technique.

Multiplex PCR identified DMST 8, 18, and 21 as B. suis, and DMST 20 as B. ovis, so their MLVA-16 profiles were subjected to a clustering analysis with other B. suis and B. ovis strains available in the MLVA database. MLVA-based cluster analysis correctly placed multiplex PCR-identified B. suis strains among those isolated from swine.

Multiplex PCR identified DMST 20 (from human) as B. ovis and MLVA analysis placed the strain in the cluster containing strains that were often isolated from sheep, and it is therefore possible that the human source acquired the infection from sheep.

Tandem repeat units for each locus from the MLVA-16 panel were used to calculate Simpson's DI of B. melitensis samples only ( $n=37$ ). MLVA-16 profile for the loci of panel 1 had lower DI values than those of panel 2 A or panel 2 B , suggesting the loci of panel 1 are more conserved than those in panel 2. These results supported the selection of loci marker by Le Fleche et al (2006), who informed that markers of panel 1 were minisatellite loci with repeat units length above 9 bp , while markers of panel 2 were microsatellites of highly polymorphic octamers with 2-5 bp repeat unit.

The four stock Brucella strains and R-18 strain isolated from goats in Ratchaburi had multiplex PCR profiles similar to that of B. abortus strain S-19 vaccine strain, MLVA profile of which was clustered among the reference $B$. abortus strains from foreign countries, different from the C cluster of the Thai strains (data not shown). A possible explanation is that the vaccine strain had reverted to a viable form and was transmitted among other animals.

Yim-M and Yim-V strains isolated from cattle and A-18 swab specimen was from a goat but had multiplex PCR profile of B. abortus, and their MLVA profiles also were closely related. Goat in the same farm might have acquire $B$. abortus infection either from cow or the environment. Yim-M was isolated from milk and Yim-V from a vaginal swab from the same cow. The other two B. abortus isolates, Kog milk from a cow and human strain DMST 9, were clustered next to one another in the
dendrogram, and both were localized to the correct B. abortus cluster.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that MLVA-16 strategy was able to classify Brucella isolates at the strain level, and also to cluster the species correctly, except that the Thai isolates of $B$. abortus and B. melitensis shared the same cluster. Although a limited number of Brucella isolates was included, this study reveals that the Thai Brucella strains are distinct from strains from other continents, and even other Asian countries. Moreover, Brucella strains associated with each host species were phylogenetically distinct. MLVA-16 typing, combined with multiplex PCR, should prove useful in Brucella diagnosis, epidemiology and control.
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